

The Gazette



of India

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 2] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JANUARY 9, 1965/PAUSA 19, 1886

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed
as a separate compilation

NOTICE

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 31st December 1964:—

| Issue No. | No. and Date | Issued by | Subject |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| 322 | S.O. 4373, dated 23rd December, 1964. | Ministry of Information and Broadcasting. | Approval of films specified therein. |
| 323 | S.O. 4374, dated 23rd December, 1964. | Ministry of Commerce. | The Cotton Control (Amendment) Order, 1964. |
| 324 | S.O. 4375, dated 24th December, 1964. | Election Commission, India. | Calling upon the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Uttar Pradesh, to elect a person to fill the vacancy in the Council of States, caused by the resignation of Shri Tarkeshwar Pande. |
| | S.O. 4376, dated 24th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Appointing dates etc. for the election referred to in S.O. 4375 above. |
| | S.O. 4377, dated 24th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Designating the Secretary, Uttar Pradesh Legislature, Lucknow, to be the Returning Officer for the election referred to in S.O. 4375 above. |
| | S.O. 4378, dated 24th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Appointing the Secretary, Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, to assist the Returning Officer, for the election referred to in S.O. 4375 above. |
| | S.O. 4379, dated 24th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Directing that the method of voting by postal ballot shall be followed at the election referred to in S.O. 4375 above. |
| 325 | S.O. 4380, dated 24th December, 1964. | Ministry of Labour and Employment. | Constituting an advisory committee for the Coal Mines Labour Welfare Fund Rules. |

| Issue No. | No. and Date | Issued by | Subject |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 326 | S.O. 4381, dated 24th December, 1964. | Ministry of Commerce. | Declaring that certain provisions of certain Sections of the Forward Contract (Regulations) Act, shall apply to non-transferable specific delivery contracts in respect of cotton seed, linseed and castor seed. |
| | S.O. 4382, dated 24th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Details regarding applicability of certain sections of the Forward Contracts Act in respect of cotton seed etc. and fixation of rate. |
| | S.O. 4383, dated 24th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Exempting from the operation of certain section of the Forward Contracts Act in respect of goods mentioned therein. |
| 327 | S.O. 4384, dated 26th December, 1964. | Ministry of Steel and Mines. | Amendment to S.O. 731, dated 29th February, 1964. |
| 328 | S.O. 4385, dated 28th December, 1964. | Election Commission, India. | Polling upon the members of the Electoral College of Manipur to elect a person to fill a vacancy in the Council of States caused by the death of Shri Laimayum Lalit Madhab Sharma. |
| | S.O. 4386, dated 28th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Appointing dates etc. for the election referred to in S.O. 4385 above. |
| | S.O. 4387, dated 28th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Fixation of hours with reference to the election referred to in S.O. 4385 above. |
| | S.O. 4388, dated 28th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Designating the Dy. Commissioner, Imphal, to be the Returning Officer, for the election referred to in S.O. 4385 above. |
| 329 | S.O. 4389, dated 28th December, 1964. | Ministry of Finance | Fixation of premium payable in respect of Emergency Risks (Goods) Insurance Scheme. |
| | S.O. 4390, dated 28th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Fixation of premium payable in respect of Emergency Risks (Factories) Insurance Scheme. |
| | S.O. 4391, dated 28th December, 1964. | Do. . . | The Emergency Risks (goods) Insurance (Fourth Amendment) Scheme, 1964. |
| | S.O. 4392, dated 28th December, 1964. | Do. . . | The Emergency Risks (Factories) Insurance (Fourth Amendment) Scheme, 1964. |
| 330 | S.O. 4393, dated 28th December, 1964. | Ministry of Commerce. | Notifying that Jute hessian and jute sacking shall be subject to quality control etc. |
| | S.O. 4394, dated 28th December, 1964. | Do. . . | Recognising the Indian standard Institution Certification Mark with respect to jute hessian etc. |

| Issue No. | No. and date | Issued by | Subject |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 331 | S.O. 4395, dated 28th December, 1964. | Election Commission, India. | Amendment to S.O. 4388, dated 28th December, 1964. |
| 332 | S.O. 4396, dated 29th December, 1964. | Ministry of Commerce. | Proposed notification regarding quality control and inspection etc. prior to export of fish and fish products. |
| | S.O. 4397, dated 29th December, 1964. | Do. | Recognising the Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Ernakulam, as the agency for inspection of fish etc. |
| | S.O. 4398, dated 29th December, 1964. | Do. | The Export of fish and fish products (Inspection) Rules, 1964. |
| 333 | S.O. 4399, dated 30th December, 1964. | Election Commission, India. | Calling upon the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Madras to elect a person to fill a vacancy caused by the death of Shri G. Rajagopalan. |
| | S.O. 4400, dated 30th December, 1964. | Do. | Appointing dates etc. for the election referred to in S.O. 4399 above. |
| | S.O. 4401, dated 30th December, 1964. | Do. | Fixation of hours for the election referred to in S.O. 4399 above. |
| | S.O. 4402, dated 30th December, 1964. | Do. | Designating the Secretary, Legislative Assembly Department, Madras to be the Returning Officer, for the election referred to in S.O. 4399 above. |
| | S.O. 4403, dated 30th December, 1964. | Do. | Appointing the Deputy Secretary, Legislative Assembly Department, Madras to assist the Returning Officer, for the election referred to in S.O. 4399 above. |
| 334 | S.O. 4404, dated 30th December, 1964. | Ministry of Law | Declaration containing the name of the candidate elected in the Chanda Parliamentary Constituency. |
| 335 | S.O. 4405, dated 31st December, 1964. | Election Commission, India. | Appointing also Shri G. Raghavan, Assistant Secretary, Legislative Assembly Department, Madras to assist the Returning Officer, with reference to the election referred to the S.O. 4399 above. |

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 30th December 1964

S.O. 93.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 81 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and in supersession of its notification No. 83/64, dated the 30th May, 1964, the Election Commission hereby appoints Shri Roshan Lal, Under Secretary to the Election Commission as an officer who may also receive election petitions presented in accordance with the provisions contained in Part VI of the said Act.

[No. 83/64.]

By Order,

PRAKASH NARAIN, Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 4th January 1965

S.O. 94.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 1 of the Official Languages Act, 1963 (19 of 1963), the Central Government hereby appoints the 10th day of January, 1965, as the date on which the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 5 of the said Act shall come into force.

[No. 2/1/65-OL.]

R. PRASAD, Jt. Secy.

New Delhi, the 30th December 1964

S.O. 95.—In the Ministry of Home Affairs notification No. 7/14/62-Ests(A), dated 2nd November, 1964, for the words "Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Amendment Rules, 1964", please read "Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Fifth Amendment Rules, 1964."

[No. 7/14/62-Ests(A).]

HARISH CHANDRA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Expenditure)

New Delhi, the 23rd December, 1964.

S.O. 96.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the General Provident Fund (Central Services) Rules, 1960, namely:—

1. These Rules may be called the General Provident Fund (Central Services) Tenth Amendment Rules, 1964.

2. In the General Provident Fund (Central Services) Rules, 1960, in the Fifth Schedule, in paragraph 2, after the entry "Directors of the Survey of India, in respect of Class III and Class IV officers under their control" the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"The Regional Directors (Food), Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Food), in respect of the Gazetted as well as non-gazetted officers under their control;

The Joint Directors (Food) incharge of Administration in the offices of the Regional Director (Food), Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Food) in respect of the non-gazetted officers employed in the Region."

[No. F. 27(11)-EV(B)/64-GPF.]

New Delhi, the 30th December, 1964.

S.O. 97.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Contributory Provident Fund Rules (India), 1962, namely:—

1. These Rules may be called the Contributory Provident Fund (India) Tenth Amendment Rules, 1964.

2. In the Contributory Provident Fund Rules (India), 1962, in the Fifth Schedule, in paragraph 2, after the entry "Directors of the Survey of India, in respect of Class III and Class IV officers under their control" the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"The Regional Directors (Food), Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Food), in respect of the Gazetted as well as non-gazetted officers under their control;

The Joint Directors (Food) incharge of Administration in the offices of the Regional Director (Food), Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Food) in respect of the non-gazetted officers employed in the Region."

[No. F. 27(11)-EV(B)/64-CPF.]

C. K. SUBRAMANIAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 29th December 1964

S.O. 98.—In pursuance of sub-rule (4) of rule 126-J of the Defence of India Rules, 1962, I, B. D. Pande, the Administrator, hereby make the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue), No. S.O. 3325, dated the 29th November, 1963, namely:—

In the said notification, in the Table, after the entry "Circle Inspectors (Revenue)", in column 2 against Serial No. 11, the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"and the Collector or his nominee (to be specified in writing)".

[No. F. 1/45/64-GC.II]

B. D. PANDE, Administrator.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 2nd January 1965

S.O. 99. —Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, Banking Department as on the 25th December, 1964.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

| LIABILITIES | Rs. | ASSETS | Rs. |
|--|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Capital paid up | 5,00,00,000 | Notes | 16,20,97,000 |
| | | Rupee Coin | 6,00,000 |
| Reserve Fund | 80,00,00,000 | Small Coin | 9,35,000 |
| National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | 86,00,00,000 | Bills purchased and discounted:— | |
| | | (a) Internal | .. |
| | | (b) External | .. |
| | | (c) Government Treasury Bills | 112,89,08,000 |
| National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund | 9,00,00,000 | Balances held Abroad* | 9,72,66,000 |
| National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | 10,00,00,000 | Investments** | 143,85,61,000 |
| | | Loans and Advances to:— | |
| | | (i) Central Government | .. |
| | | (ii) State Governments@ | 40,39,09,000 |
| Deposits:— | | Loans and Advances to:— | |
| (a) Government: | | (i) Scheduled Banks† | 35,35,20,000 |
| | | (ii) State Co-operative Banks†† | 158,80,26,000 |
| | | (iii) Others | 2,46,19,000 |

| | | | |
|---|---------------|--|---------------|
| (i) Central Government . . . | 52,78,64,000 | Loans, advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund— | |
| (ii) State Government . . . | 10,46,09,000 | (a) Loans and Advances to— | |
| | | (i) State Governments | 28,01,62,000 |
| | | (ii) State Co-operative Banks | 11,08,80,000 |
| | | (iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks | .. |
| (b) Banks: | | (b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund— | 4,45,53,000 |
| (i) Scheduled Banks | 91,91,55,000 | Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks | .. |
| (ii) State Co-operative Banks | 2,75,26,000 | Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund— | |
| (iii) Other Banks | 5,43,000 | (a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank | .. |
| (c) Others | 143,49,23,000 | (b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank. | .. |
| Bills Payable | 55,64,77,000 | Other Assets | 31,00,70,000 |
| Other Liabilities | 47,30,09,000 | | |
| Rupees | 594,41,06,000 | Rupees* | 594,41,06,000 |

*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@ Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

† Includes Rs. 1,67,00,000 advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under section 17(4) (c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

†† Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 30th day of December, 1964.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 25th day of December, 1964.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

| LIABILITIES | Rs. | Rs. | ASSETS | Rs. | Rs. |
|--|----------------|----------------|---|---------------|----------------|
| Notes held in the Banking Department | 16,20,97,000 | | Gold Coin and Bullion :— | | |
| Notes in circulation | 2505,48,95,000 | | (a) Held in India | 117,76,10,000 | |
| Total Notes issued | | 2521,69,92,000 | (b) Held outside India | .. | |
| | | | Foreign Securities | 85,45,69,000 | |
| | | | TOTAL | | 203,21,79,000 |
| | | | Rupee Coin | | 102,38,48,000 |
| | | | Government of India Rupee Securities | | 2216,09,65,000 |
| | | | Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper | | .. |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | | 2521,69,92,000 | TOTAL ASSETS | | 2521,69,92,000 |

Dated the 30th day of December, 1964.

P. C. BHATTACHARYYA,
Governor.

[No. F. (3)2-BC/64.]

R. K. SESHADRI,
Director (Banking)

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 4th January 1965

S.O. 100—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 126 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1951), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following additions to the Schedule annexed to its Notification No. 1 (F. No. 55/233/63-IT), dated the 18th May, 1964 :—

After Serial No. 14 in the said Schedule, the following items shall be added :—

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|----|---|---|---|--|--|
| 15 | (a) All persons residing or carrying on business as hundi bankers or hundi brokers or <i>purja-wallas</i> (i.e., persons, other than banks, who discount hundis) within the limits of Greater Bombay District of Maharashtra State. | Income-tax Officer, Hundi Circle, Bombay. | Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, 'S' Range, Bombay. | Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, 'K' Range, Bombay. | Commissioner of Income-tax, Bombay City-III, Bombay. |
| | (b) All partners of firms falling under (a) above. | Do. | Do. | Do. | Do. |

This notification shall take effect from 11th January, 1965.

[No. 1(F. No. 55/1/65-IT)]

G. M. KULKARNI, Under Secy.

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY

New Delhi, the 28th December 1964

S.O. 101—The following draft of rules further to amend the Employees' State Insurance (Central) Rules, 1950, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 95 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), is hereby published as required by sub-section (1) of the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 20th January, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person in respect of the said draft before the date so specified will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Amendment

1. These rules may be called the Employees' State Insurance (Central) Third Amendment Rules, 1964.

2. In the Employees' State Insurance (Central) Rules, 1950, in clause (ii) of sub-rule (2) of rule 5, after Note (2), the following Note shall be inserted, namely:—

“(3) — The Department of Social Security may, in exceptional cases, increase the daily allowance of the non-official members of the Subcommittee of the Standing Committee, of the Employees' State

Insurance Corporation or of the Medical Benefit Council upto a maximum of Rs. 20/- (Rupees Twenty only) per day, when they are satisfied that the work of the Sub-Committee is of such continuous or responsible nature as to necessitate the non-official member devoting far greater time and energy to it than he can be ordinarily expected to spare”.

[No. F. 1/1/64-HI.]

S.O. 102.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that (1) M/S. Ambika Dress Manufacturing Co. and (2) M/S. Central Workshop, Karnatak University, were situated in Dharwar area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Dharwar in the State of Mysore;

And, whereas by virtue of their location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factories were granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification No. S.O. 2871 dated the 11th September, 1962;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Dharwar area in the district of Dharwar in the State of Mysore has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification No. S.O. 2871 dated the 11th September, 1962, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, serial No. 4 and the entries relating thereto in columns 2, 3 and 4 shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6(41)/62-HI.]

S.O. 103.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that (1) The Dharwar Electric Supply Co. Ltd. (2) Ravindra Press (3) Khadi and Village Industries Commission Fibre Carpentry Workshop, Belgaum Road (4) Precision Metal Works (5) The Indian Plywood Manufacturing Co. (P) Ltd., (6) The New Precision (P) Ltd. and (7) Kenjalgi Hanumantharao Smarak Gramodyog Kendre, were all situated in Dharwar area which was a sparse area (that is an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Dharwar in the State of Mysore;

And, whereas by virtue of their location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factories were granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification No. S.O. 2665, dated the 2nd November, 1961;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Dharwar area in the district of Dharwar in the State of Mysore has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification No. S.O. 2665, dated the 2nd November, 1961:—

In Schedule I to the said notification, in the entries against serial No. 9, the entries “Dharwar” and

1. The Dharwar Electric Supply Co. Ltd.,
2. Ravindra Press,
3. Khadi and Village Industries Commission Fibre Carpentry Industries Workshop, Belgaum Road,
4. Precision Metal Works,

5. The Indian Plywood Manufacturing Co. (P) Ltd.,
6. The New Precision (P) Ltd.
7. Kenjalgi Hanumatharao Smarak Gramodyog Kendre.

occurring in columns 4 and 5 respectively shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6(41)/62-HI.]

S.O. 104.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts, having regard to the location of the factory in the implemented area, the Central Jail Industrial Section, Central Jail, Madras from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for the period upto and including the 11th August, 1965.

[No. F. 6/57/64-HI.]

S.O. 105.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts, the Central Dairy Nagpur Milk Scheme, Nagpur, having regard to its location in an implemented area, from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 2nd January, 1965.

[No. F. 6/75/64-HI.]

S.O. 106.—In pursuance of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 5A of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), read with section 14 of the Employees' Provident Funds (Amendment) Act, 1963 (28 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1861 dated the 31st October, 1952, namely:—

In the said notification, in entry 7, the word "Additional" shall be omitted.

[No. 12/5/63/PF-II.]

S.O. 107.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 31st day of January, 1965, as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force), and Chapter V and VI [except sub-section (1) of section 76 and sections 77, 78, 79, and 81 which have already been brought into force] of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Madras, namely:—

- I. The area within the limits of the revenue village of Kottapothu except Sempattu hamlet, in Tiruchirappalli taluk, Tiruchirappalli district.
- II. The area within the limits of the revenue village of Kalandra except Chettlappanur hamlet, in Vaniyambadi sub-Taluk, North Arcot District.

[No. F. 13(20)/63-HI.]

New Delhi, the 29th December 1964

S.O. 108.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5D of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), and in partial modification of the notification of the Government of India in the Department of Social Security No. S.O. 3992, dated the 13th November, 1964 published in Part II Section, 3, sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 21st November, 1964, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri E. V. Ram Reddi vice Shri P. D. Gaiha as the Central Provident Fund Commissioner for the Employees' Provident Fund with effect from the forenoon of the 16th December, 1964, for the territories to which the said Act extends.

[No. 15(23)/64-PF-I.]

S.O. 109.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. V. S. S. Ramachandra Raju to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Andhra Pradesh for the purposes of the said Act or of

any Scheme framed thereunder, in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government, or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a major port, a mine or an oilfield or a controlled industry.

[No. 20(74)/64-PF-I.]

New Delhi, the 1st January 1965

S.O. 110.—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 5A of the Employees' Provident Funds, Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), read with section 14 of the Employees' Provident Funds (Amendment) Act, 1963 (28 of 1963), the Central Government hereby appoints the Secretary to the Government of India in the Department of Social Security, as the Chairman of the Board of Trustees (Central Board) and makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1861, dated the 31st October, 1952, namely:—

In the said Notification, for entry No. 1, the following entry shall be substituted namely:—

"1. The Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Social Security, New Delhi—Chairman".

[No. 12/5/63/PF-II.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd December 1964

S.O. 111.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the seasonal nature of the industry carried on in the factory, hereby exempts, for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st January, 1965, every factory which is exclusively engaged in wool pressing either with or without cotton pressing and ginning, from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act.

[No. 7(9)/64-HI.]

SHAH AZIZ AHMAD, Dy. Secy.

New Delhi, the 29th December, 1964.

S.O. 112.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 9 of the Khadi and Village Industries Commission Act, 1956 (61 of 1956) and in modification of the Notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry Nos. SRO 1131 and SRO 1728, dated the 5th April, 1957 and 23rd May, 1957, respectively, and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. S.O. 1406, dated 15th May, 1963, the Central Government hereby deposes (a) One officer of the Ministry of Finance, viz., the Financial Adviser to the Department of Social Security, and (b) one officer of the Department of Social Security, to attend the meetings of the Commission and to take part in the discussions of the Commission without the right to vote.

[No. 41/1/64-KVI(P).]

P. SITARAMAN, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

New Delhi, the 28th December, 1964.

S.O. 113.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Forward Markets Commission, Class III, Recruitment Rules, 1958, namely:—

1. These rules may be called the Forward Markets Commission, Class III, Recruitment (Amendment) Rules, 1964.

2. In the Forward Markets Commission, Class III, Recruitment Rules, 1958, after rule 2, the following rule shall be inserted, namely:—

"2A. *Certain permanent vacancies to be filled by transfer from among employees of State Governments.*—Notwithstanding anything contained in these rules, where in any year there are three or more permanent vacancies in any of the said posts to be filled by direct recruitment, not more than one-third of such vacancies may be filled by transfer from among employees of State Governments, in accordance with the general instructions issued in this behalf by the Central Government from time to time."

[No. 38(3)-Com. Gen. (FMC)/64]

M. L. GUPTA, Under Secy.

(Office of the Textile Commissioner)

Bombay, the 2nd November, 1964

S.O. 114.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by clause 34 of the Cotton Textiles (Control) Order, 1948 and with the sanction of the Central Government, I hereby authorise all the Officers at Head-quarters and Regional Offices of the Textile Commissioner not below the rank of Directors to exercise on my behalf the powers under clause 12E of the said order.

[No. 2(3)Tex(A)/62/2(14)/62-Control.]

N. SWAMI,

Joint Textile Commissioner.

A. G. V. SUBRAMANIAM, Under Secy.

(COFFEE CONTROL)

New Delhi, the 29th December, 1964.

S.O. 115.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the second proviso to sub-section (1) of section 25 of the Coffee Act, 1942 (7 of 1942), the Central Government, being satisfied that it is not practicable for the owners producing coffee in the State of Maharashtra to comply with the provisions of that sub-section on account of the small quantity of coffee produced by them and on account of their estates being situated in a remote locality, hereby exempts such owners from the provisions of that sub-section.

[No. 14(40) Plant (B)/64.]

B. KRISHNAMURTHY, Under Secy.

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 22nd December, 1964

S.O. 116.—In the Government of India Ministry of Commerce Notification S.O. No. 2467, dated the 30th June, 1964 published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3 sub-section (ii):

In paragraph 2 thereof:

For the words and figures

Read the words and figures

"sub-clause (6) of clause 12 or clause 12B or clause 12C".

"sub-clause (6) and (8) of clause 12 or clause 12B or clause 12C".

[No. F. 2(3)-Tex(A)/62-Tex(I).]

A. G. V. SUBRAMANIAM, Under Secy.

(Department of Communications)

(P. & T. Board)

New Delhi, the 27th December 1964

S.O. 117.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director-General Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 1st January, 1965, as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Naini Telephone Exchange.

[No. 31-28/64-PHB.]

M. P. SHUKLA,

Asstt. Dir. Genl. (PHA).

MINISTRY OF HEALTH*New Delhi, the 1st January 1965*

S.O. 118.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government in consultation with the Government of Gujarat, hereby nominates Dr. T. B. Patel, Director of Health and Medical Services (Medical), Ahmedabad, to be a member of the Medical Council of India and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. F. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the said notification, under the head "Nominated under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3", for the entry against serial No. 14, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. T. B. Patel, Director of Health & Medical Services (Medical), New Civil Hospital, Ward D-Ground Floor, Asarwa, Ahmedabad-16."

[No. F. 4-10/64-MPT.]

B. B. L. BHARADWAJ, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF WORKS & HOUSING*New Delhi, the 4th January 1965*

S.O. 119.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants), Act, 1958 (32 of 1958), and in partial modification of the Ministry of Works, Housing and Rehabilitation Notifications No. S.O. 54, dated the 23rd December, 1963 and No. S.O. 1479, dated the 2nd May, 1964, the Central Government hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column 1 of the table below, being gazetted officer of Government, to be estate officer for the purposes of the said Act who shall exercise the powers conferred, and perform the duties imposed, on estate officers by or under the said Act in respect of the public premises and within the local limits of jurisdiction specified in the corresponding entries in column 2 of the said table.

THE TABLE

| (1) | (2) |
|-------------------------|---|
| Designation of Officers | <p>Categories of public premises and local limits of jurisdiction.</p> <p>Administrative Officer, All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health, Calcutta.</p> <p>Premises under the administrative control of the Union Ministry of Health within the limits of the Corporation of Calcutta as defined in the Calcutta Municipal Act, 1957.</p> |

[No. 32(11)/64-Acc II.]

H. S. JAIN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION**(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)***New Delhi, the 26th December 1964*

S.O. 120.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Sub-Section (2) of Section 16 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954) the Central Government hereby appoints for the State of Maharashtra, Shri P. N. Oberoi, Managing Officer in the office of Regional Settlement Commissioner, Bombay as Managing Officer for the custody, management and disposal of compensation pool with effect from the date he took over charge of his office.

[No. 7(10)AGZ/64.]

New Delhi, the 29th December 1964

S.O. 121.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Sub-Section (2) of Section 16 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (No. 44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints for the Union territory of Delhi Sh. Ram Chand, Assistant Custodian, in the office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Delhi, as Managing Officer, for the custody management and disposal of compensation pool with effect from the 20th November, 1964.

[No. 7(13)AGZ/64.]

M. J. SRIVASTAVA,

Settlement Commissioner & Ex-Officio Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES**(Department of Mines and Metals)***New Delhi, the 28th December 1964*

S.O. 122.—Whereas in pursuance of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Department of Mines and Fuel), No. S.O. 2977, dated the 8th December, 1961, under Section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, the Central Government has acquired lands measuring 778.45 acres in the villages Sawardih, Sutikdih and Sudamdih and the rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig and search for, win, work and carry away minerals in the lands measuring 625.73 acres in the villages Sawardih, Sutikdih, Sudamdih, Gori Gram, Chhota Tanr and Bhojudih in the District of Dhanbad;

And whereas M/s. Standard Coal Co., Ltd., Chartered Bank Buildings, Calcutta-1, the interested party, have under section 13 of the said Act, preferred their claim for compensation to the competent authority;

And whereas the compensation offered by the competent authority has been accepted by the said company only under protest and there is a dispute as to the sufficiency of the amount of compensation offered;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 14 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby constitutes a Tribunal consisting of Shri R. P. Sinha, Additional Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable to the interested party.

[No. C2-20(9)/64.]

S.O. 123.—Whereas in pursuance of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Department of Mines and Fuel), No. S.O. 72 dated 29th December, 1958 under section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government has acquired lands measuring 314 acres and the rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig

and search for, win, work and carry away minerals in the lands measuring 592.75 acres in the village Sayal (Seal) in the district of Hazaribagh;

And whereas Messrs Karanpura Development Co., Ltd., Chartered Bank Buildings, Calcutta-1, the interested party, have under section 13 of the said Act, preferred their claim for compensation before the competent authority;

And whereas the compensation offered by the competent authority has been accepted by the said company only under protest and there is a dispute as to the sufficiency of the amount of compensation offered;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby constitutes a tribunal consisting of Shri R. P. Sinha, Additional Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable to the interested party.

[No. C2-20(10)/63.]

New Delhi, the 30th December 1964

S.O. 124.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Mines and Fuel S.O. 220, dated the 16th January, 1963, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in the lands measuring 800.00 acres (approximately) or 324.00 hectares (approximately) in the locality specified in the Schedule appended to that notification and reproduced in Schedule I appended hereto;

And whereas out of the said lands, by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Heavy Engineering (Department of Mines and Metals) S.O. 1629, dated the 8th May, 1964, the Central Government made declaration under sub-section (1) of the section 9 of the said Act only in respect of the lands and rights in or over such lands mentioned in Schedule-II appended hereto;

And whereas in respect of the remaining area by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Heavy Engineering (Department of Mines and Metals) S.O. 1523, dated the 27th April, 1964, the Central Government gave notice of its intention under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act to acquire only of the lands and rights in or over such lands mentioned in the Schedules III appended hereto;

And whereas in respect of the remaining lands no notice under the said sub-section (1) of section 7 has been given;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the said sub-section (1) of section 7, the Central Government hereby specifies a further period of one year commencing from the 16th January, 1965, as the period within which the Central Government may give notice of its intention to acquire the said remaining lands of any rights in or over such lands.

SCHEDULE—I

(ARGADA BLOCK EXTN.)

Dig. No. Rev/63/62
Dated 31-8-1962.

(Area notified for prospecting).

| Sl. No. | Village | Thana | Thana No. | District | Area | Remarks. |
|---------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|------------|------|----------|
| 1. | Tongi | Mandu | 135 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |
| 2. | Barkachumba | Mandu | 132 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |
| 3. | Chapri | Mandu | 140 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |
| 4. | Manuan | Mandu | 139 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |
| 5. | Hesla | Mandu | 138 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |

Total area 800.00 acres (approximately) OR 324.00 hectares (approximately)

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION:

- A-B line passes through villages Barkachumba and Tongi and meeting at point B.
- B-C line passes along the common boundaries of villages Arigada and Tongi Barkachumba, Chapri and Hesla and meeting at point C. (which is also the boundary of N.C.D.C.'s Argada Colliery).
- C-D line passes along the Southern side of Railway line for a short distance, i.e. through village Hesla and meeting at point 'D'.
- D-E-A line passes through villages Hesla, Manuan, Chapri and Barachumba and meeting at point A.

SCHEDULE—II

Drg. No. Rev/117/63
Dated 13-10-1963

(showing lands acquired)

*Argada Block Extension
Sub-Block 'A'*
(South Karanpura coalfield)

'All Rights'

| Sl. No. | Village | Thana | Thana No. | District | Area | Remarks |
|---------|---------|-------|-----------|------------|------|---------|
| 1. | Manua'n | Mandu | 139 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |
| 2. | Hesla | Mandu | 138 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |

Total area - 4.88 acres (approximately)
OR 1.98 hectares (approximately)

Plot Nos. acquired in village Manua'n:

47(P) and 120(F).

Plot No. acquired in village Hesla:

1(P).

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION:

- 1-2 line passes through plot No. 47 of village Manua'n and meets at point '2'.
- 2-3 line passes through plot Nos. 47, 120 of village Manua'n and through plot No. 1 of village Hesla and meets at point '3'.
- 3-4 line passes through the plot No. 1 of village Hesla and meets at point '4'.
- 4-1 line passes through plot No. 1 of village Hesla and through plot Nos. 120 and 47 of village Manua'n and meets at point '1'.

SCHEDULE—III
Schedule—A 2

Drg. No. Rev/18/64
Dated 15-2-1964

(showing lands to be acquired).

*Argada Block
—Extension
(Sub Block—B)*

'All Rights'

| Sl. No. | Village | Thana | Thana No. | District | Area | Remarks. |
|---------|---------|-------|-----------|------------|------|----------|
| 1. | Hesla | Mandu | 138 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |

Total 1.088 acres (approximately)
or 0.36 hectares (approximately)

Plot Nos. to be acquired in village Hesla:

1(P) and 150(P).

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION:

E-L line passes through plot No. 150 in village Hesla and meets at point 'L'.

L-K line passes through Plot Nos. 150 and 1 in village Hesla (which is the part common boundary of Mining Right) and meets at point 'K'.

K-F line passes through plot No. 1 in village Hesla (which is the part common boundary of Argada Sub Block-A (All Right) notified U/S 7(1) of the Coal Act vide S.O. No. 3044 dated 5-9-63) and meets at point 'F'.

F-E line passes through plot Nos. 1 and 150 in village Hesla (which is the part common boundary of Mining Rights) and meets at point 'E'.

SCHEDULE—B

(showing lands where rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig and search for, win, work and carry away minerals are to be acquired).

'Mining Rights'

| Sl. No. | Village | Thana | Thana No. | District | Area | Remark. |
|--|----------------|-------|-----------|------------|------|---------|
| 1. | Hesla | Mandu | 138 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |
| 2. | Manuan. . . . | Mandu | 139 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |
| 3. | Chapri | Mandu | 140 | Hazaribagh | .. | Part |
| Total area : 198.00 acres (approximately) or 80.19 hectares (approximately) | | | | | | |

Plot Nos. to be acquired in village Hesla:

1(P), 2, 3(P), 4(P), 150(P), 151(P), 961(P), 962, 963, 964 and 966(P).

Plot Nos. to be acquired in village Manuan:

47(P) and 120(P).

Plot Nos. to be acquired in village Chapri:

530(P), 611(P), 626(P), 720, 722 and 723.

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION:

A-B line passes along the part common boundary of villages Chapri and Argada and meets at point 'B'.

B-C line passes along the part Eastern bank of the Nala in village Chapri and meets at point 'C'.

C-D line passes along the part Eastern Bank of the Nala in village Hesla and meets at point 'D'.

D-E line passes along the Southern boundary of Plot No. 964 and through plot Nos. 966, 961, 3, 4 and 150 in village Hesla and meets at point 'E'.

E-F line passes through Plot Nos. 150 and 1 in village Hesla (which is the part common boundary of All Right) and meets at point 'F'.

F-G-H-I-J-K lines pass along the part common boundary of Argada Sub-Block 'A' (All Right) notified U/S 7(1) of the Coal Act vide S.O. No. 3044 dated 5-9-1963 in villages Hesla and Manuan and meet at point 'K'.

K-L line passes through plot Nos. 1 and 150 in village Hesla (which is the part common boundary of All Right) and meets at point 'L'.

L-M-N lines pass through plot Nos. 150, 151, 150 and 1 in village Hesla and through plot Nos. 120 and 47 in village Manuan and meets at point 'N'.

N-A line passes through plot No. 47 in village Manuan, through Plot No. 626 in village Chapri, through Plot No. 1 in village Hesla and again through Plot Nos. 626, 611 and 530 in village Chapri and meets at point 'A'.

[No. C2-20(38)/62.]

K. SUBRAHMANYAN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

New Delhi, the 31st December 1964

S.O. 125.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-rule (2) of rule 11, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 14 and sub-rule (1) of rule 23 of Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957, the President hereby makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs No. 2054 dated the 9th September, 1959, namely:—

In Part III of the Schedule to the said notification relating to "General Service, class IV" the entries under the heading "Botanical Survey of India" shall be numbered as item (1) and after the entries as so renumbered, the following item and entries shall be inserted, namely:—

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|----------|---|---------------------------|-----------|
| "(2) All posts in the Indian Botanical Garden. | Director | Deputy Director (Indian Botanical Garden) | Item numbers (i) to (iii) | Director" |

[No. F. 16-173/63-SIII.]

S. K. SANYAL, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 26th December 1964

S.O. 126.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 9 read with sub-rule (2) of rule 9 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby re-appoints Mrs. Haja Shareef after consultation with the Central Board of Film Censors, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Madras with effect from 10th September, 1964.

[No. F. 11(4)/63-FC.]

S.O. 127.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 9 read with sub-rule (2) of rule 9 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby re-appoints Dr. K. M. George after consultation with the Central Board of Film Censors, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Madras with effect from 30th November, 1964.

[No. F. 11(4)/63-FC.]

H. N. AGARWAL, Dy. Secy.

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 29th December 1964

S.O. 128.—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendation of the Film Advisory Board,

Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarati to be of the Description specified against each in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Title of the film | Length 35 mm | Name of the applicant | Name of the producer | Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film |
|---------|---------------------|--------------|---|----------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | Mahitichitra No. 48 | 245.36M | Director of Information, Government of Gujarat, Dhanraj Mahal, Apollo Bunder, Bombay-1. | | Film dealing with news and current events. (for release in Gujarat State only) |
| 2. | Dayani Devi | 262.13M | | Do. | Film intended for educational purposes. (For release in Gujarat Circuit only. |

[No. 24/1/64-FP. App. 661.]

S.O. 129.—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Films Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Oriya to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Orissa Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1954 (Orissa Act 2 of 1954).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Title of the film | Length 35 mm | Name of the applicant | Name of the producer | Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film |
|---------|-------------------|--------------|---|--|--|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1. | 'Kulabohu' | 608 M. | Secretary to Govt. of Orissa Finance Dept., Bhubaneshwar-1. (Orissa.) | The Film Producers, Orissa, Pilgrim Road, Cuttack-3. | Film intended for educational purposes (for release in Orissa Circuit only). |

[No. 24/1/64-FP App. 962.]

S.O. 130.—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Films Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (President's Act 4 of 1955).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Title of the film | Length 35 mm | Name of the applicant | Name of the Producer | Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film |
|---------|---|--------------|--|----------------------|--|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1. | 'Land of the Blue Hills' (English, Urdu, and Telugu). | 304·48 M. | Director, Information and Public Relations, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh). | | Film intended for educational purposes (for release in Andhra Pradesh Circuit). |

[No. 24/1/64-FP APP. 963]

S.O. 131.—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Films Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto to be of the description specified against each in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Title of the film | Length 35 mm | Name of the applicant | Name of the producer | Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film |
|---------|-------------------|--------------|---|----------------------|--|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1. | Ekta (Hindi) | 266 M. | Director of Publicity, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34. | | "Documentary" (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only). |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|----|--|-------------|--|-----|--|
| 2. | Maharashtra News No. 149 (Hindi and Marathi). | 304 M | Director of Publicity, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68 Tardeo Road, Bombay-34. | | Film dealing with news and current events (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only). |
| 3. | Maharashtra News No. 150 (Hindi & Marathi). | 304 M | Do. | Do. | Do. |
| 4. | Kadam Milake Chalo (Hindi & Marathi). | 246 M | Do. | Do. | Film intended for educational purposes (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only) |
| 5. | Suddha Beeja Poti Phale Rasal Gomoti (Marathi) | 288 M. | Do. | Do. | Do. |
| 6. | 'Praman Mahatva' (Hindi). | Ka 288.95 M | Do. | Do. | Do. |

[No. 24/1/64-FP App. 964.]

J. K. JAIN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT*New Delhi, the 29th December 1964*

S.O. 132.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following Scheme further to amend the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:—

1. This Scheme may be called the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1964.

2. In the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, in clause 31 the existing "Explanation" shall be numbered as "Explanation I" and after Explanation I as so numbered, the following "Explanation" shall be inserted, namely:—

"Explanation II—For the purpose of this clause the expression 'month' shall not include the days of weekly off."

[No. 520/6/64-Fac.]

New Delhi, the 4th January 1965

S.O. 133.—The following draft of a Scheme further to amend the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 1st February, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1964.

2. In the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in clause 6, in the first proviso for the words and figures "Rs. 500 or more", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"rupees six hundred and above";

3. In the said Scheme, in item (2) of clause 8, for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 250", the following words shall be substituted; namely;

"up to rupees six hundred";

4. In the said Scheme, in item (j) of clause 9, for the words and figures "below Rs. 250", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees four hundred";

5. In the said Scheme, in item (g) of clause 11, in the proviso for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 250", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees three hundred".

[No. 522/17/63-Fac.]

S.O. 134.—The following draft of a Scheme further to amend the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 1st February, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any persons with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1964.

2. In the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in clause 6, in the first proviso for the words "rupees five hundred or more", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"rupees six hundred and above";

3. In the said Scheme, in item (b) of clause 8, for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 300", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees six hundred";

4. In the said Scheme, in item (j) of clause 9, for the words and figures "below Rs. 300", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees four hundred";

5. In the said Scheme, in item (g) of clause 11, in the proviso for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 250", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees three hundred".

[No. 522/17/63-Fac.]

S.O. 135.—The following draft of a Scheme further to amend the Calcutta Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 1st February, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Calcutta Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1964.

2. In the Calcutta Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in clause 6, in the first proviso for the words "not less than rupees five hundred", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"rupees six hundred and above";

3. In the said Scheme, in item (1) of clause 8, for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 300", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees six hundred";

4. In the said Scheme, in item (j) of clause 9, for the words and figures "below Rs. 300", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees four hundred";

5. In the said Scheme, in item (g) of clause 11, in the proviso for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 250", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"upto rupees three hundred";

[No. 522/17/63-Fac.]

S.O. 136.—The following draft of a Scheme further to amend the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 1st February, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1964.

2. In the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in clause 6, in the first proviso for the words "not less than rupees five hundred," the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"rupees six hundred and above";

3. In the said Scheme, in item (1) of clause 8, for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 300", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees six hundred";

4. In the said Scheme, in item (j) of clause 9, for the words and figures "below Rs. 300", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees four hundred";

5. In the said Scheme, in item (g) of clause 11, in the proviso for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 250", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees three hundred".

[No. 522/17/63-Fac.]

S.O. 137.—The following draft of a Scheme further to amend the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons

likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 1st February, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1964.

2. In the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in clause 6, in the first proviso, for the words "not less than rupees five hundred", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"rupees six hundred and above";

3. In the said Scheme, in item (i) of clause 8, for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 300", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees six hundred";

4. In the said Scheme in item (j) of clause 9, for the words and figures "below Rs. 300", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees four hundred";

5. In the said Scheme, in item (g) of clause 11, in the proviso for the words and figures "not less than Rs. 250", the following words shall be substituted, namely:—

"up to rupees three hundred";

z

[No. 522/17/63-Fac.]

S.O. 138.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 5A, read with section 9 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Government of Madras, as a member of the Madras Dock Labour Board *vice* Assistant Commissioner of Labour-II, Government of Madras and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 1372, dated the 19th May, 1960, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "*Members representing the Central Government*" in item (4), for the entry "Assistant Commissioner of Labour-II", the entry "Assistant Commissioner of Labour" shall be substituted.

[No. 524/4/60-Fac.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 2nd January 1965

S.O. 139.—In exercise of the powers conferred on it under sub-section (1) of section 83 of the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952), the Central Government hereby exempts, till 31st December, 1965,—

(a) every person who is a candidate for a Manager's or Surveyor's Certificate under regulations 16 and 17 of the Metalliferous Mines Regulations, 1961 from so much of the provisions of sub-regulation (2) of regulation 15 of the said regulations as requires him to have passed the Matriculation examination of a recognised University or its equivalent; and

(b) every person who is a candidate for a Forman's Mate's, Engine-Driver's or Blaster's Certificate under regulations 15, 18 and 20 of the Metalliferous Mines Regulations from so much of the provisions of sub-regulation (2) of regulation 15 of the said regulations as requires him to satisfy the Board that he is literate.

[No. 1/48/64-MT/11]

S.O. 140.—In exercise of the powers conferred on it under sub-section (1) of section 83 of the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952), the Central Government hereby exempts such persons as hold an unrestricted Mine Foreman's or Second Class Manager's service Certificate of Competency and are candidates for an examination for a Manager's Certificate under regulation 16 of the Metalliferous Mines Regulations, 1961, from so much of the provisions of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 15 of the said Regulations as requires them to hold a Mate's and Gas-testing Certificate.

[No. 1/48/64-MI(ii).]

R. C. SAKSENA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 2nd January 1965

S.O. 141.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Chipping and Painting Workers in the Calcutta Port and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 29th December 1964.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL: CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 33 OF 1964

PARTIES:

Employers of Chipping and Painting Workers in the Calcutta Port

AND

The National Union of Dock Labour, Calcutta, and Dock Mazdoor Union.

PRESENT:

Shri L. P. Dave—*Presiding Officer*.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Shri B. P. Dutta.

On behalf of Workmen—1. Shri P. K. Ganguly, Jt. Gen. Secretary, National Union of Dock Labour.

2. Shri Provat Sen, Joint Secretary, Dock Mazdoor Union.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Port.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, by their order No. 23/7/63-LRIV, dated 19th June 1964, have referred the industrial dispute existing between the employers specified in Schedule I of the order and their workmen in the Port of Calcutta in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule II of the order, for adjudication to this Tribunal.

2. The employers mentioned in Schedule I were as under:—

1. Chairman, Calcutta Dock Labour Board, Calcutta.
2. Secretary, The Calcutta Chipping, Painting and Ship's Labour Contractors' Assocn., Calcutta.
3. Messrs Baboo Lall and Company, 69/2, Ekbalpore Road, Calcutta.
4. Messrs Nariman Davur, Branch Manager, 106/B, Manohar Pukur Road, Calcutta-29.
5. Messrs A. Sattar, 33/C, Mominpur Road, Calcutta.
6. Messrs Haider Brothers, 8, India Exchange Place, Calcutta.
7. Messrs. M. Hossain, 40/3A, Ekbalpore Lane, Calcutta.
8. Messrs Poddar Brothers, 3, Mangoe Lane, Calcutta.
9. Messrs Marine Suppliers Corporation, 29, Strand Road, Calcutta.
10. Messrs Eastern Company (Private) Limited, 114, Stephen House, Dalhousie Square, Calcutta.

11. Messrs G. M. Syed, 51-A, Dent Mission Road, Calcutta.
12. Messrs Abdul Samad and Brothers, 5, Dent Mission Road, Calcutta-23.
13. Messrs Md. Kasem Aly, 11, Old Post Office Street, Calcutta.
14. Messrs Calcutta Shipping and Marine Engineering Company, 11, Old Post Office Street, Calcutta.
15. Messrs Alex Miller (Merchants) Private Limited, 137, Canning Street, Calcutta.
16. Messrs H. K. Bancrjee and Company, 25, Swallow Lane, Calcutta.
17. Messrs Mazumdar Brothers, 23-B, Ezra Street, Calcutta.

3. The matters specified in Schedule II were as under:—

- (i) Whether the demand of the listed workers viz. the Chipping and Painting workers for payment of festival advance is justified, and
- (ii) If so, what should be the amount of advance and what should be the method and arrangement for payment of and recovery of such advance?

4. Notices were issued to all parties calling upon them to file written statements. The workmen represented by the Dock Mazdoor Union and the National Union of Dock Labour filed their written statements. Out of the employers, the Calcutta Chipping, Painting and Ship's Labour Contractors' Association, Messrs Haider Brothers, Messrs. M. Hossain, Messrs Marine Suppliers Corporation, Messrs Estcin Company (Private) Limited, Messrs Calcutta Shipping and Marine Engineering Company filed their written statements. The other employers excepting the Calcutta Dock Labour Board did not appear or file any written statement. The Calcutta Dock Labour Board took time for filing the written statement and ultimately sent an application, stating that the matter had been settled amicably between them and other parties and hence no written statement was being filed by them. The petition mentioned the terms on which the matter was settled and was also signed by several of the parties.

5. The matter was then fixed for hearing when several of the employers including Calcutta Port Trust and the two Labour unions appeared before me and accepted the terms of the above compromise. The other employers did not appear. They have remained ex-parte all along. I accept the compromise against them also, because under the compromise no liability is fixed on them.

6. The present dispute relates to the grant of festival advance, the amount of advance and the method and arrangement for payment and recovery of such advance. Under the terms of compromise, it has been agreed that the Calcutta Dock Labour Board would pay Rs. 30 per head to listed workers who are listed as Chipping and Painting workers by way of advance and that the said advance would be deducted in the same manner as is applicable to registered dock workers of the Calcutta Dock Labour Board. In my opinion, the compromise is fair and reasonable and I accept it.

7. I therefore pass an award as under:—

- (i) The Calcutta Dock Labour Board shall pay Rs. 30 per head to listed workers listed as Chipping and Painting workers by way of advance; that the said advance would be payable once in a year and on account of religious festival and that the terms and conditions in regard to this grant of festival advance to the listed workers will be the same as those applicable to registered dock workers of the Calcutta Dock Labour Board. It is further ordered that the said amount of festival advance would be deducted in the manner as is applicable to the registered dock workers of the Calcutta Dock Labour Board for the purpose.
- (ii) Parties shall bear their own costs.

Dated,
The 26th December, 1964.

(Sd.) L. P. DAVE,
Presiding Officer.

[No. 28/7/63/LR.IV.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 2nd January 1965

S.O. 142.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Punjab National Bank Limited and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed:

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of the Punjab National Bank Limited, was justified in reverting Shri Om Prakash Bhatia from the post of Officiating Supervisor at Gaya Branch and transferring him to Warsaliganj Branch as Godown-Keeper? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled

[No. 51(86)/64-LRIV.]

O. P. TALWAR, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 29th December 1964

S.O. 143.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Chhota Bowa Colliery, Post Office Bansjora, District Dhanbad (Managing Contractors—Messrs Prabhulal U. Ojha and Company) and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 22nd December 1964.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT DHANBAD
REFERENCE No. 91 of 1964

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Chhota Bowa Colliery, P.O. Bansjora, Dist. Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT:

Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A., B.L.,—*Presiding Officer.*

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers:—Shri S. K. Sinha, Managing Partner.

For the Workmen:—Shri Dhaneshwar Prasad, workman concerned, and Shri Sukdeo Pandey, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 15th December, 1964

AWARD

1. Ministry of Labour and Employment, Government of India, by its order No. 2/66/64-LR II dated 7th August 1964 referred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial dispute existing between Employers in relation to the Chhota Bowa Colliery and their workmen in respect of the matter specified below:

“(1) Whether the action of the management of the Chhota Bowa Colliery in rendering idle Shri Dhaneshwar Prasad, General Mazdoor, with effect from the 22nd April 1964 and subsequently terminating his services with effect from the 18th May 1964, was justified?

(2) If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?”

2. To-day, the 15th December, 1964, both the parties filed a joint petition of compromise personally before me signed by the persons above named setting out the terms of the compromise and prayed that an Award in terms of the said compromise be passed.

3. The aforesaid compromise dated 15th December, 1964, is marked Annexure 'A' and made a part of the Award which is made on the basis of the same.

4. This is the Award which I make and submit to the Central Government under Section 15 of the Act.

Sd/- RAJ KISHORE PRASAD,
Presiding Officer.

ANNEXURE 'A'

Dated, Bansjora, the 15th December, 1964.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER,
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL GOVT.) DHANBAD.

Ref:—91/64

Order No. 2/66/64-LR II of 7th August 1964 from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment.

SUB:—Compromise petition.

Dear Sir,

The humble petition on behalf of the management Chhota Bowa Colliery and Sri Dhaneshwar Prasad, labourer of Chhota Bowa Colliery, most respectfully sheweth:

That the parties to the suit has agreed to settle the matter amicably among themselves on the following terms and conditions.

Terms

1. That Sri Dhaneshwar Prasad will be reinstated from the date, when he reports for duty.
2. The period of his absence from duty will be treated as leave without pay.

It is therefore, prayed, that the compromise petition may be treated as a part of the decree.

And the petition shall.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
DHANESHWAR PRASAD,
Bailing Mazdoor,
Chhota Bowa Colliery
P.O. Bansjora
Dhanbad.

Sd/-
S. K. SINHA,
Mg. Partner,
M/s. Prabhulal U. Ojha & Co.
Chhota Bowa Colliery of M/s.
Chhota Bowa Colliery (P) Ltd.
P.O. Bansjora,
Dhanbad.

Signed in my presence

Sd/- SHUKDEO PANDEY,
Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

[No. 2/66/64-LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 1st January 1965

S.O. 144.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby appoints the Officer on Special Duty and Regional Labour Commissioner (Implementation) (Central), Nagpur as the Conciliation Officer and makes the following amendment

in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 1288, dated the 2nd April, 1964, namely:—

In the Table annexed to the said notification, after serial No. 15 and the entries relating thereto, the following serial number and the entries shall respectively be inserted in columns 1, 2 and 3, namely:—

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|-------|---|--|
| "15 A | Officer on Special Duty and regional Labour Commissioner (Implementation) (Central) Nagpur. | Whole of India but with regard to the State of Jammu and Kashmir the Jurisdiction extends only in relation to industrial disputes concerning work men employed under the Government of India." |

[No. F. 1/71/64-LR.I.]

S.O. 145.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of Shri L. P. Dave, Arbitrator, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Korea Colliery of the National Coal Development Corporation Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 28th December, 1964.

BEFORE SHRI L. P. DAVE, ARBITRATOR, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. ABN-1/64:

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Korea Colliery of National Coal Development Corporation Ltd.,

AND

Their workmen (Represented by Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation, Korea Branch).

PRESENT:

Shri L. P. Dave—Arbitrator.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Shri P. R. Mukherjee.

On behalf of Workmen—Shri Gulab Gupta.

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

There was an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Korea Colliery of the National Coal Development Corporation Limited and their workmen regarding the dismissal of a Coal Cutting Machine Driver named S. R. Gupta. The parties entered into a written agreement under Sub-section (1) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act referring the said dispute to my arbitration. Thereupon under the provisions of the Sub-section (3) of the above Section the Government of India published the said Arbitration agreement by their Order No. 8/97/63-LR.II, dated 3rd March, 1964.

2. In response to notices issued by me, both parties filed written statements. It may be mentioned here that the workmen represented by the Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation filed it rather late; but I have accepted it and allowed the employers to file a rejoinder to this. Thereafter the matter was heard. Both parties led evidence before me, and then argue the matter at length. I now proceed to give my award in the case.

3. The dispute relates to the dismissal of a workman named S. R. Gupta. It is not in dispute that this workman joined the Korea Colliery in 1960 as a mazdur. He was however promoted step by step and ultimately he was working as a Coal Cutting Machine Driver at the relevant time namely January, 1963.

4. It is an admitted fact that this workman went to the office of the Colliery Manager on 21st January, 1963 to see him. A peon named Tejballi Singh was sitting outside the Manager's office and Gupta asked the peon to inform the

Manager that he wanted to see him. As to what happened thereafter is in dispute. According to the management when Tejbali told the Manager that Gupta wanted to see him the Manager asked him to enquire from Gupta the purpose why he wanted to see him and it is said that Gupta said that it was private work. Thereupon the Manager is alleged to have instructed the peon to tell Gupta that if he had private work he should see him at his residence but if he had some work connected with the colliery he could see him in the office then and there. The Management's case is that on this message being delivered by Tejbali to Gupta, Gupta became wild and began to abuse the manager saying that he was not his servant that he would go to his residence and he also used threatening language saying in effect that his day had come. He is alleged to have then left the place. On the other hand, the workmen's case is that when Tejbali informed Gupta that Gupta should see the Manager at the Manager's residence, Gupta quietly went away without using any abuses or threats.

5. A chargesheet, dated 22-1-63/23-1-63, was served on the workman. It charged him with wilful insubordination, abusing his superior, habitual indiscipline and threatening his superior with dire consequences. He was asked to explain within 3 days as to why severe disciplinary action should not be taken against him. Pending the enquiry, he was kept under suspension. The workman replied to this chargesheet on 26th January, 1963, denying the allegations. On 29th January, 1963, he was informed that an enquiry would be held in the case in the office of the Colliery Manager of Kurasia Colliery on 31st January, 1963. Accordingly an enquiry was held by a Committee consisting of 3 persons namely, the Colliery Manager, Kurasia Colliery, The Senior Technical Engineer of the Korea Colliery and the Principal of the Mining Training School, Kurasia, on 31st January, 1963 and on 1st February, 1963. The Committee submitted a report (which does not bear any date) holding Gupta not guilty of wilful insubordination but holding him guilty of (1) abusing and threatening his superior with dire consequences and (2) habitual indiscipline. A notice was issued against the workman to show cause why he should not be dismissed. He replied to it but ultimately an order was passed dismissing him and it is this order of dismissal which has given rise to the present reference.

6. The law relating to the powers of an Industrial Tribunal when dealing with cases of dismissal as a result of departmental enquiry has now been very well settled. The Tribunal is not sitting in appeal against the decision of the departmental enquiry and cannot consider whether the findings of the enquiry officer were correct or not, i.e., the Tribunal cannot consider the question of sufficiency or reliability of the evidence before the departmental enquiry. The Tribunal can and must however interfere if the finding is perverse or is not *bona fide* or if the principles of natural justice have been violated or if it is found that there was unfair labour practice or the dismissal was vindictive. It is also settled law that if the departmental enquiry is found to be not fair or proper or if it has been affected by gross irregularity which vitiated the findings, the Tribunal would be entitled to deal with and itself consider the merits of the dismissal of the employee. In such a case, it would be open to the employer to adduce evidence before the Tribunal to satisfy it that the dismissal was justified. The Tribunal would give an opportunity to both parties to lead evidence in the matter and then to decide the question in the light of the whole evidence which may have been adduced before it. In this connection, however, merely because the employer leads additional evidence, it would not necessarily justify an inference that the employer conceded that the enquiry had not been proper and bonafide. In such a case, what the Tribunal has got to look into first is whether the enquiry was proper and if it holds that it is proper, it has no jurisdiction to go into the question on merits. If however the enquiry is held to be vitiated or the like, it can then decide the matter on merits on the evidence led before it. (See the case of Ritz Theatre (Private) Ltd. Vs. its workmen, 1962-II LLJ 498).

7. Shri Gupta on behalf of the workmen urged before me that as an Arbitrator, I would not suffer from the limitations which an Industrial Tribunal is placed under when it is examining the justifiability of a dismissal of a workman for misconduct as a result of domestic enquiry or not. In this connection, he relied on the case of Anglo American Direct Tea Trading Co. Vs. its workmen (1963-II LLJ 752). This is a decision of the single judge of the Madras High Court, holding that "the jurisdiction of an Arbitrator under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act is certainly different from that of an Industrial Tribunal under Section 10".

8. On the other hand, Shri Mukherjee on behalf of the employers, referred to the case of Air Corporation Employees' Union and another Vs. Vyas (D.V.), 1962-I LLJ 31 and argued that this decision showed that the Arbitrator has the same powers as an Industrial Tribunal and no more. In this case, the matter before

the High Court was whether an Arbitrator under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act could be said to fall within the word 'Tribunal' under Article 227 of the Constitution so as to be amenable to the jurisdiction of the high Court and it was held that even though he may not be exactly a Tribunal under the Act, he was so very like it that it must be held to be a Tribunal under Article 227 of the Constitution. The question whether the powers of an Arbitrator were the same or wider than a Tribunal was not at all considered or decided in that case.

9. In the present case, however, I need not consider whether I have, sitting as an Arbitrator, more powers than an Industrial Tribunal, because, as I shall presently show, I am satisfied that the enquiry in the present case is vitiated and even if I was sitting only as an Industrial Tribunal, I would have to consider the matter on its merits. For the purposes of this case, I am proceeding on the basis that I have no more powers than an Industrial Tribunal.

10. Bearing in mind the principles referred to above, let us examine the case and it is whether the enquiry which was held was proper or not. At the outset, I may repeat that the charge against Gupta was that he was guilty of wilful insubordination, abusing his superior, habitual indiscipline and threatening the superior with dire consequences. As I mentioned above, he has been held not guilty of wilful insubordination. We have therefore to consider the case relating to the other charges. If we read the chargesheet, we find that there is no allegation therein supporting the charge that Gupta was guilty of habitual indiscipline. I shall quote the entire chargesheet here. It is to the following effect:—

"On 21st January, 1963, at about 4-00 p.m. while the undersigned was sitting in his office performing the administrative's work, you sent the message through the Peon, Shri Tejballi Singh that you wanted to see the undersigned for some private work. The undersigned sent back his reply that for private work you should please see him at his residence and for any official work you could see him in the office. When this message was conveyed to you through the Peon Shri Tejballi Singh, you started abusing the undersigned by using very filthy language and threatened to assault with dire consequences.

Your this act denotes wilful insubordination, abusing to your superior, habitual indiscipline and threatening with dire consequences to your superiors under the standing orders.

You are therefore hereby asked to explain within 3 days of receipt of this letter, as to why severe disciplinary action should not be taken against you. Pending the enquiry into the case you are hereby kept under suspension w.e.f. 22nd January, 1963".

11. The first para of the chargesheet alleges the acts of abuses and threats to the Manager; the second para mentions that this denoted wilful insubordination, abuses to the superior, habitual indiscipline and threats; by the third para, the workman was asked to give his explanation. He gave a reply denying the allegations, and thereupon a date was fixed for holding a departmental enquiry. When he went to the enquiry, he went to meet these charges. Even if he had not remained present at the enquiry or even if he had admitted the allegations made in the chargesheet, the charge of "habitual indiscipline" could not have been held proved against him. This charge would require not only indiscipline but it would require past acts of indiscipline showing that indiscipline was habitual. I might repeat that there is no allegation of indiscipline, much less habitual indiscipline, in the chargesheet and in the circumstances, the committee of enquiry was not justified in allowing evidence on this point or in holding this charge proved.

12. Again, the evidence on this point before the Committee consisted of the alleged admission of Gupta to his having been served with chargesheets in the past and punishments having been awarded to him in respect of these chargesheets. The proceedings of the Committee of 1st February, 1963, mention that Gupta was shown four chargesheets dated 31st December, 1960, 6th May, 1961, 5th February, 1962 and 21st September, 1962 respectively; that he accepted having received these chargesheets and he also accepted the punishments awarded to him under letters dated 22nd January, 1961, 9th August, 1961, 26th April, 1962 and 22nd October, 1962, respectively. I am however not satisfied about the correctness of these proceedings.

13. In the first instance, I find that the proceedings do not appear to have been written there and then. This will be evident from the fact that after it was stated in the proceedings that Gupta was shown these chargesheets, he accepted

having received the chargesheets and the punishments therein, the proceedings go on to mention that one of the witnesses named Sankar Misra was misbehaving before the Committee while answering questions put to him by the members of the Committee. After this the proceedings mention that the statements of Gupta, Shri Rama Shankar, Jay Karan, Gulab Chand, Shankar Misra and others were recorded. The alleged misbehaviour of Misra must have taken place while he was answering questions put to him, i.e. only while his statement was being recorded. His statement was recorded after the statements of several other witnesses. Still we find the mention of the Misra's misbehaviour in the proceedings before the mention of statements of other witnesses. Secondly, we find in the proceedings the mention of Gupta's admission about having received the chargesheets and the punishments is made first and later on it is mentioned that his statement was recorded. Thirdly, the proceedings of 1st February, 1963, do not bear the signature of S. R. Gupta though his signature was obtained on the proceedings of 31st January, 1963.

14. The last and the most important fact in this connection is that in his statement Gupta was questioned about the chargesheets and the punishments and his replies do not show that he had admitted either the chargesheets or the punishments. It may be noted here that not a single question was put to Gupta about the previous chargesheets in his cross-examination by the Manager. Questions were however put to him in his cross-examination by the members of the Committee. The relevant questions and answers on this point are as under:

Ques.: Did you receive any chargesheet before this?

Ans.: I am not sufficiently educated, but on being told and on being shown I say that chargesheets were served on me.

Ques.: How often do you get a chargesheet?

Ans.: I do not remember as I am illiterate. I cannot remember.

Ques.: Did you receive any chargesheet from the Manager who was predecessor of Shri Sandhu

Ans.: I did receive a chargesheet but it was served on me at the instance of others. I had replied to it and that reply is on the record.

These are all the questions put to Gupta and the replies given by him on this point. They only show that he was served with a chargesheet or probably more than one chargesheet. They do not show that he admitted that he had been served with four chargesheets. In any case, they do not show that he had admitted that he had been punished in respect of these chargesheets. There is not a single question put to him regarding any letter of the alleged punishments awarded to him in respect of any of these chargesheets. In these circumstances, the statement made in the proceedings that he admitted that he had been served with four chargesheets mentioned in the proceedings and that he had also been awarded punishments as mentioned in the four letters mentioned in the proceedings is not correct.

15. I may here refer to a discrepancy which was pointed out in the course of arguments by Shri Gulab Gupta. He urged that according to the proceedings Gupta is said to have been suspended for ten days by a letter of 22nd October, 1962, in respect of chargesheet of 21st September, 1962. This letter has been produced before me though it does not appear to have been produced before the Committee of enquiry. It shows that Gupta was awarded 10 days' suspension without wages with effect from 22nd September, 1962 to 3rd October, 1962 as punishment. Shri Gupta argued that 21st September, 1962 to 3rd October, 1962, came to 13 days and not 10 days and obviously there was some mistake in this order. The other side explained this by saying that the imposition of 10 days' suspension was suspension for 10 working days and that holidays falling within the period from 21st September, 1962 to 3rd October, 1962, were excluded. There appears to be some force in this; but then there is another discrepancy and it is that there was a settlement on 18th February, 1963, between the employers and the union regarding several disputes. The agreement of settlement mentions that regarding S. R. Gupta it was agreed that the punishment was to be reduced to 10 days. It may be noted that this agreement (dated 18th February, 1963) is subsequent to the enquiry (1st February, 1963) in the present case. No explanation has been given to me as to how if the original punishment was of 10 days, it could be said that it was to be reduced to 10 days. I might repeat that at the time of the enquiry this agreement was not in existence and that it was entered into subsequent to it. In view however of the facts mentioned above, I need not

consider the fact of this discrepancy because I am satisfied that Gupta had not admitted, as alleged in the proceedings, that he had received the above punishment.

16. Thus both on the ground that the chargesheet did not allege any act of indiscipline and also on the ground that the finding in respect of this charge is perverse in that there was no evidence from which the Committee could have come to this conclusion, I hold that charge cannot be held proved or considered in respect of punishments awarded to him.

17. Before I proceed to consider the other charge, namely the charge of abuses and threats to the Manager and to consider whether the enquiry in respect thereof was proper or not, I may here mention that even if those charges are held proved, there would be one difficulty which I shall have to consider and it is whether the order of dismissal can be upheld when out of two charges held proved against Gupta, only one charge can stand and the other cannot. None of the orders or letters produced in this case show whether the Officers had applied their mind to the punishment on the ground of separate charges; that is, nowhere do I find anything to justify inference that even if only the charge of abuse and threats was held proved and the other charge of habitual indiscipline was not proved, the Officers would have dismissed Gupta. The Calcutta High Court has held in the case of Nripendra Nath Bagchi and Government of West Bengal, 1961-II LLJ 312, "when an order of dismissal is passed on the findings of an enquiry officer and some of the findings turn out to be not unsustainable, it is not desirable or proper to allow the order of dismissal to stand inasmuch as it is not possible to ascertain to what extent the bad findings operated in the mind of the dismissing authority or whether the dismissal order would have been made at all if only the remaining findings had been before it". The Punjab High Court in the case of Railway Board and another Vs. Niranjana Singh, 1964-I LLJ 321 has also taken a similar view.

18. I may at this stage also consider the powers of a Tribunal on the question of punishment. It is now settled law that normally the Tribunal should not interfere in the question of sentence. The question of sentence is a matter in the discretion of the management. In the case of Doom Dooma Tea Co. Ltd., Vs. Assam Chah Karmachari Sangha, 1960-II LLJ 56, the Supreme Court has held that, "Normally the awarding of proper punishment for misconduct under the standing orders is the function of the management, and unless there is valid justification, the tribunal should be slow to interfere with the exercise of that function".

19. In the case of Andhra Scientific Company, Ltd. Vs. Seshagiri Rao (A), 1961-II LLJ 117, the Supreme Court has also held that it is settled law that where the conclusion reached by the management as regards the guilt of the workman of the misconduct urged against him remains undisturbed, the industrial tribunals will not ordinarily interfere with the punishment imposed. It is further held that there could be no doubt however that when the acts in respect of which the workman is ultimately found guilty do not amount to misconduct at all under the standing orders of the employers, the Tribunal not only could but should consider the question what punishment should be inflicted on the altered finding of guilt.

20. Thus it cannot be said that the Industrial Tribunal has absolutely no jurisdiction to interfere in the question of punishment. Ordinarily it should not interfere or it should be very slow in interfering; but in a fit case it would have the jurisdiction to interfere even on the question of punishment also.

21. Coming now to the charge of abuse and threats to the Manager, the first question that I have to consider is whether the enquiry that was held was proper and if so I would have no jurisdiction to go into the merits of this charge. In this connection, we are firstly met with a grave irregularity in that the workman was not allowed the help of a co-worker. It is not in dispute that the worker requested to be allowed to be assisted by a worker of the Kurasia colliery but this request was turned down on the ground that a worker of the Kurasia colliery could not be said to be a co-worker of Gupta who was working in the Korea colliery. The proceedings also mention that no worker of the Kurasia colliery was present at the time, but this reason appears to me to be only an attempt to support the decision of the committee not to allow Gupta to be assisted by a workman of the Kurasia colliery. There is definite evidence before me to show that Gupta had taken one Pandey working in the Kurasia colliery when he went to the enquiry. The enquiry was held inside the Manager's office and Pandey was naturally sitting outside. Gupta states that he did tell the Committee that

he had brought Pandey with him to help him. Shri Jetly, who was one of the members of the committee of enquiry, has stated in his evidence before me that he could not say whether Pandey had come there or not. I believe the evidence led on behalf of the workmen and hold that Pandey had gone there but he was not allowed by the committee to assist Gupta in the enquiry.

22. The question then is whether Gupta had a right to have the assistance of a worker of Kurasia colliery in the enquiry, i.e., whether a workman working in the Kurasia colliery could or could not be said to be a co-worker of Gupta. It was argued that Gupta was working in the Korea colliery and therefore only a workman of the Korea colliery could be said to be his co-worker and that a worker of Kurasia colliery could not be said to be a co-worker. I do not agree with this contention. A copy of the Standing Orders applicable to the collieries (Madhya Pradesh) owned by the National Coal Development Corporation Ltd., has been produced before me on behalf of the employers and it is said that these standing orders apply to the present case. It may be noted that these standing orders are not in respect of one colliery alone but they are in respect of the collieries of the National Coal Development Corporation Ltd., situated in the State of Madhya Pradesh. This would mean that all workers working in the different collieries situated in the State of Madhya Pradesh and belonging to the National Coal Development Corporation Limited would be co-workers. It may be noted in this connection that copies of these standing orders have been endorsed by the Certifying Officer to the Deputy General Manager of the National Coal Development Corporation at Korba and to its Deputy Superintendent of Collieries at Kurasia. They have not been endorsed to the Managers of the different collieries showing that they have not been treated as separate units for the purpose of the Standing Orders. At best, collieries under the charge of the Deputy Superintendent of collieries, Korba, may be taken to be one separate unit from the collieries under the charge of the Deputy Superintendent of collieries, Kurasia. Admittedly both Korea and Kurasia collieries are under one Deputy Superintendent and in my opinion they must be taken to be one unit. This fact is further supported by the fact that workmen are inter transferable from Korea to Kurasia and *vice versa*. Further, we have the important fact that in the present case which relate to the Korea colliery, the enquiry was held by Officers of the Kurasia colliery and further the enquiry was held not at Korea colliery but at Kurasia colliery.

23. At this stage I may mention that Shri Gupta urged that it was not proper to have held the enquiry at Kurasia colliery. I do not agree with this contention specially when I hold that for practical purposes Korea and Kurasia collieries constitute one unit and one establishment. Lastly, it appears from the evidence of Shri Jetly that if Shri Pandey had been present they would probably have allowed him to assist in the enquiry. If according to the standing orders, a worker of Kurasia colliery could not be said to be a co-worker of Gupta, then the committee would not have allowed Pandey to assist Gupta and this statement of Jetly shows that even the committee did realise that Pandey was a co-worker of Gupta at least so far as his right to assist him at the enquiry was concerned.

24. It may here be noted that on equitable grounds also the committee ought to have allowed Gupta to be assisted by Pandey. Gupta was charged with an offence of abusing and threatening his Manager. The enquiry was being held at Kurasia which is at a distance of several miles from Korea. When the Manager of Korea colliery was the complainant and when the enquiry was held at a place other than Korea, I think that in the interests of justice and fair play the committee ought to have allowed Gupta to be assisted by a worker from Kurasia and their refusal to do so amounted to not allowing him a reasonable opportunity of defending himself.

25. It was then argued by Shri Gulab Gupta that the enquiry was only a show and that the committee was already bias or prejudiced against Gupta. It is true that the complainant in the case was the Manager of the colliery and the enquiry was being held by other co-officers. This would mean that the dice was loaded in favour of the complainant but it does not necessarily mean that the members were prejudiced.

26. Some bias on the part of the members appears from the questions that they put to different witnesses. For instance, certain witnesses examined by Gupta were questioned by the committee suggesting that they had been chargesheeted in the past. No such question was put to any witness by the Manager when he cross-examined them; but the committee members put such questions. Similarly, it was only during the cross-examination of the Manager by the Committee that he alleged for the first time that the Assistant Manager was present when the

incident took place. All this does show that the committee members were trying to help the prosecution by putting questions which the Manager had omitted to put and by trying to fill in the lacuna in the prosecution case. All the same, I would not be prepared to give a definite finding that they sat with a pre-determination of holding Gupta guilty.

27. Shri Gupta also argued that the reasons given by the committee for disbelieving the witnesses were not proper. To consider this would amount to sitting in appeal against the finding of the committee. I cannot consider whether the reasons given by the committee for not believing the witnesses were proper or not.

28. I however hold that the enquiry was vitiated by the fact that Gupta was not allowed to be assisted by a co-worker and the finding of the committee is therefore not binding on me. I would therefore have to consider the question on merits on the evidence recorded before me.

29. In support of the rival versions we have on the one hand the evidence of the Manager Sandhu, peon Tejballi Singh and two other workers Chandrika Prasad and Lalu Ram, and the evidence of S. R. Gupta, Ramashankar, Gulab Chand and Sukhlal Singh on the other. I may at once say that I feel neither party is speaking the whole truth. Neither the allegations made by the management nor the allegations made by Gupta appear to be natural.

30. According to the management's case, when Gupta asked for an interview, the manager replied that if he had private work he should see the manager at his residence and thereupon Gupta began to abuse and threaten the manager and went away. The Committee of enquiry has commented on the fact that the manager should not have made a distinction between private and official work. Even then, the committee appears to have missed an important fact. The allegation that Gupta said that he wanted to see the manager for private work does not appear to be correct. In his evidence before the committee, Tejballi had said that when Gupta was asked to see the manager at his residence, Gupta started abusing and stated *inter alia* that he was not the manager's servant that he should go to his house and that Gupta would not be paid overtime for going to the manager's house. These statements of Gupta clearly show that Gupta did not want to see the manager for private work but with work connected with the office. If Gupta wanted to see the manager for private work, he could not have got irritated on the manager asking him to go to his residence nor could he have uttered that he was not his servant nor could he have said that he would not be if he is asked to see the manager at his residence but if he has official work and is still asked to see the manager at his house he would naturally say that he was not his servant that he would go to his house and he would also naturally say that he would not be paid overtime allowance for this. This clearly shows that the manager and other witnesses are not speaking the entire truth.

31. We are then told that Gupta not only abused the manager but even stated that he would set him right, and he said this in a loud threatening voice. This does not appear to me to be natural. Admittedly there had been no previous incident between the manager and Gupta and there is nothing why Gupta should immediately start threatening the manager only because he was asked to see him at his residence.

32. We have then the important fact that though Gupta is said to have not only abused the manager but even used threatening language in a loud tone, it did not attract the notice or attention of any of the clerks working in the manager's office. The incident occurred during office hours when over 40 clerks were working in the office and still not one is said to have come out. Even the peon Tejballi Singh did not try to stop Gupta from using abuses or threats nor did anyone else do so.

33. It was argued that none of the clerks has been examined as a witness and that the witnesses examined are chance witnesses whose presence is doubtful. In his deposition before me, the Manager has tried to explain the non-examination of the clerks by saying that he was not sure whether any of them had seen the incident and further that he did not want to bring in trade union rivalry by examining the clerks because the clerks belonged to a union other than the union to which Gupta belonged. This appears to me to be an after-thought because the manager did not even care to enquire as to whether any of the clerks had seen or heard the incident. Normally he should have made an enquiry and if necessary to have called a clerk as a witness. Instead of doing so,

the manager has examined two witnesses whose presence at the incident appears to be almost a coincidence. At any rate, the version of those witnesses cannot be said to be natural; their presence has been disputed; but clerks were definitely there and it would have been more desirable to have examined a clerk.

34. It may also be mentioned here that in the chargesheet no name of any witness was given. The Assistant Manager Dossi is alleged to have been present with the Manager when the incident took place and he was examined before the enquiry committee though he has not been examined before me. But even his name is not mentioned as a person when the incident took place. Actually, even though the Manager and the Assistant Manager are said to have heard the threats of Gupta, they did not come out nor did they take any action immediately. Action is taken only after the Manager went and saw the Deputy Superintendent of collieries and consulted him. Information is said to have been given to the police later on in the evening; but copy of the said information is not produced to show as to what were the allegations made therein. That complaint (to the police) might also have shown the names of witnesses. The earliest documentary evidence is the chargesheet which bears the date 22nd January, 1963 to 23rd January, 1963 and even at this stage, the names of the witnesses have not been given.

35. I am not prepared to accept the evidence of the Manager or the peon or the witnesses examined on behalf of the Manager. The story told by them does not appear to me to be natural or probable. I do not think that Gupta must have used threatening language to the manager as alleged, though I do feel that he may have had some sort of a loose talk with the peon referring to the Manager's attitude. I do not believe Gupta's version and the version given by his witnesses that when the peon said that the Manager had asked him to see him at his residence, he quietly went away. If he had done so, there was no reason why the manager should have started all these proceedings.

36. What appears to me to have happened is that though Gupta wanted to see the manager for some official work, the Manager asked him to see him at his residence. Naturally Gupta has got irritated and he must have told the peon that he was not the manager's servant and that he would not be paid over time for going to the manager's residence. He may even have used abusive language for the Manager, as workmen of this type usually do. For reasons best known of the Manager and probably at the instigation of the Assistant Manager who appears to have had some previous incident with Gupta some time before this, this ordinary normal loose talk of one worker with another has been grossly exaggerated into an allegation of using filthy abuses and threats of dire consequence to the Manager.

37. On merits, therefore, I would hold that the charge of giving threats is not at all true and not proved. The charge of using abusing languages, I would hold that a sort of abuse was given; but I do not hold that the abuses were filthy as alleged by the prosecution. A sort of ordinary abuse which workers of this type ordinarily use must have been given.

38. Before I close, I may point out a serious lacuna in the present case and it is that though Shri Jetly was himself a member of the Enquiry Committee and had in that capacity held Gupta guilty, it was he himself, who in his capacity as Deputy Superintendent of Collieries is alleged to have again given his mind to this and held the charge proved. When the rules require that the dismissing authority should give an opportunity to the chargesheeted person to show cause before accepting the findings of the Enquiry Officer, it means that that authority should bring an independent and unbiased mind on the facts of the case. The enquiry officer who has already held the person guilty cannot bring an unbiased mind on the question. I do not believe Shri Jetly when he says that he again applied his mind to the merits of the case and felt that the order was correct. It may be noted that at the time when enquiry was held, Jetly was the Manager of the Kurasia colliery; but by the time the matter came up for dismissal of Gupta, he had become the Deputy Superintendent of Collieries. He has stated before me that as an incumbent of that post, he had to again apply his mind to the merits of the case and to consider whether the order of dismissal should be passed. He has further stated that if in his capacity of Deputy Superintendent of Collieries, he had not agreed with the dismissal, he might have made a reference to the then Mining Engineer. He has lastly said that the entire proceedings held by the committee of enquiry were before him (and were considered by him) as if he was sitting in appeal and he was satisfied that the decision of the committee was justified and proper. In other words, he sat in appeal against his own judgment. Human nature being what it is, one cannot expect him to have differed from his earlier decision.

39. To sum up, I hold that the finding on the charge of habitual indiscipline cannot be held proved because the chargesheet does not contain any particulars about it and further that the finding thereon is perverse. I further hold that the enquiry in this case was vitiated by irregularity. On merits, I hold that the charge of giving threats is not proved. I further hold that the charge of giving abuses is proved but that the abuses were not of a very serious type.

40. The question then would be one of sentence. As I mentioned above, order of dismissal was passed because two charges were held proved. One of the charges namely habitual indiscipline cannot be held proved and there is nothing to show that the employers would have passed orders of dismissal if only the charge of abuse and threat was proved. Further, even if the charge of threat is proved, I think that a sentence of dismissal is very severe especially when we remember that the alleged threats were not taken seriously at the time.

41. It may also be noted that there are extenuating circumstances in the case. The worker concerned has gradually risen from the bottom to the top of his grade. He was a good worker. The manager had given him some reason for irritation and if in the circumstances he used abuses and/or threatening language, dismissal would be too severe a punishment especially when, as I said above, the threats were not taken seriously. Hence, even if the charge of threats was proved, I would have interfered with the sentence passed in the case.

42. As it is, I hold that the only charge proved against the workman is that of giving ordinary abuses (as distinguished from filthy abuses). It is to be remembered that Gupta was a good worker. He had no previous quarrel with the manager. By his good work, he had earned quick promotions. He was dismissed from 25th April, 1963. It appears that for some time after this he had been engaged in another colliery for about 7 or 8 months. In other words, he has not been without wages for all the period from the date of dismissal. He does deserve some punishment for the abuses, specially in the interest of discipline. Though I would order his reinstatement, I would not award him back wages up to the date the dispute was referred to me. It is not clear as to when the Arbitration agreement was entered into in this case; but it has been published by the Government of India by an order, dated 3rd March, 1964. In my opinion, it will meet the ends of justice if the workman is awarded wages with effect from this date namely, 3rd March, 1964. This would mean that he would not get wages from the present employers from the date of his dismissal on 25th April, 1963 to 3rd March, 1964, i.e. for just over ten months. Out of this period, he has earned wages by working in some other colliery for about 7 or 8 months. The net result would be that he would be losing wages for about 2 to 3 months, which should be sufficient punishment for him.

43. In the result, I hold that the dismissal of Shri S. R. Gupta is not justified and he is ordered to be reinstated in service immediately with full back wages from the date of this reference (3rd March, 1964). He will be entitled to continuity of service and the period for which he is not paid wages will be treated as leave without pay. Regarding costs, I would order that parties will bear their own costs.

I pass my award accordingly.

(Sd.) L. P. DAVE, Arbitrator.

Dated, 24th December, 1964.

(No. 8/97/63-LRII.)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 29th December 1964

S.O. 146.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery, P.O. Nowrozabad, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri Mohd. Abdul Razzaque, B.Sc., LLB., (Retired Judge of Madhya Pradesh

High Court) as the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at New Moti Bungalow, 46, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Indore City and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Industrial Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Durgaprasad Singh, Drill Machine Driver, by the management of Nowrozabad Colliery, P.O. Nowrozabad, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh), with effect from the 23rd November, 1963, was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 5/8/64-LRII.]

S.O. 147.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Associated Cement Companies Limited, (Lakheri Cement Works) and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri Jawan Singh Ranawat shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Jaipur and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

1. Whether the demand by the Lakheri Cement Kamgar Sangh that the following 11 Dumper Drivers (Quarry Heavy Equipment Operators) who are at present placed in 'G' Grade by the Associated Cement Companies (Lakheri Cement Works), should be placed in the 'B' Grade, is justified?

- (1) Shri Nathulal S/o Bhanwarlal.
- (2) Shri Ramnath S/o Kishna.
- (3) Shri Shamman Singh S/o K. Singh.
- (4) Shri Chhitiya S/o Ghasl.
- (5) Shri Bhola S/o Har Chand.
- (6) Shri Dungal.
- (7) Shri Kalu Ram.
- (8) Shri Bandu S/o Yaqub.
- (9) Shri Ram Dev.
- (10) Shri Nenga.
- (11) Shri Alam Singh.

2. If so, to what relief are they entitled?

[No. 22/11/64-LRI.]

S.O. 148.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Moolchand, Raising Contractor, Jharna Soap Stone Mine, Dagota, Post Office Neemla, District Jaipur and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule thereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which

Shri Jawan Singh Ranawat shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Jaipur and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the demand of the workmen employed by Shri Moolchand, Raising Contractor, Jharna Soap Stone Mine, Dagota for Dearness Allowance of Rs. 5/- (Rupees five only) per month is justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 22/43/64-LR.I.]

New Delhi, the 1st January 1965

S.O. 149.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Toposi Colliery, P.O. Toposi (Burdwan) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Toposi Colliery in reverting their workmen Sarvashri Shyamlal Kahar and Rajdeo Gorari from permanent workmen to Badli workmen was justified. If not, to what relief are they entitled?

[No. 6/109/64-LR.II.]

S.O. 150.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the East Jambad Colliery, P.O. Kajoragram, Distt. Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of East Jambad Colliery in refusing employment to Sri Yousuf Khan is justified? If not to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 6/113/64-LR.II.]

H. C. MANGHANI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY & SUPPLY

(Department of Industry)


(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 24 th December 1964

S.O. 151.—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, as amended in 1962, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Standard Mark design of which together with the verbal description of the design and the title of the relevant Indian Standard is given in the Schedule hereto annexed, has been specified.

This Standard Mark, for the purpose of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Act, 1952, as amended in 1961, and the rules and regulations framed thereunder, shall come into force with effect from 1 January 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Design of the Standard Mark | Product /Class of Products to which applicable | No. & Title of Relevant Indian Standard | Verbal description of the design of the Standard Mark |
|---------|---|--|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| I |  | Calcium Carbide, Technical. | IS:1040-1960 Specification for Calcium Carbide, Technical (<i>Revised</i>). | The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution consisting of letters ISI, drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in Col. (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram and the words 'QUALITY A' being subscribed under the bottom side of the monogram as indicated in the design. |

[No. MD/17:2]

S.O.152.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fee per unit for Calcium Carbide, Technical, details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, has been determined and the fee shall come into force with effect from 1 January 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Product/Class of Products | No. and title of relevant Indian Standard | Unit | Marking Fee per Unit |
|---------|-----------------------------|---|------------------|---|
| I | Calcium Carbide, Technical. | IS:1040-1960 Specification for Calcium Carbide, Technical (<i>Revised</i>). | One Metric Tonne | 50 Paise per unit for the first 4000 units; 30 Paise per unit for the 4001st unit and above. |


[No. MD/18:2]

New Delhi, the 30th December 1964

S.O. 153 In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, as amended in 1962, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Standard Mark (s), designs(s) of which together with the verbal description of the design and the title of the relevant Indian Standard is given in the Schedule hereto annexed, has been specified.

This Standard Mark, for the purpose of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Act, 1952, as amended in 1961, and the rules and regulations framed thereunder, shall come into force with effect from 16th January, 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Design of the Standard Mark | Product/Class of Products to which applicable | No. & Title of Relevant Indian Standard | Verbal description of the design of the Standard Mark |
|---------|---|---|---|--|
| 1 |  | Litharge for Paints | IS:58 1950 Specification for Litharge for Paints. | The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution consisting of letters ISI, drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design. |

[No. MD/17:2]

S.O. 154 In partial modification of the then Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Indian Standards Institution) notifications, referred to in column 2 below, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fees for the products, details of which are given in the schedule hereto annexed, have been revised. The revised rates of marking fees shall come into force with effect from 16 January 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Particulars of Earlier Notification | Produce/Class of Products | No. and Title of Relevant Indian Standard | Unit | Marking fee per Unit |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--|---|------------------|--|
| 1 | S.O. 3545 dated 21 December 1963. | Zinc Oxide for Paints. | IS:35-1950 Specification for Zinc Oxide for Paints. | One Metric Tonne | Re 1.00 per unit for the first 500 units; 50 Paise per unit for the 501st unit and above. |
| 2 | S.O. 2975 dated 29 September 1962. | Red Lead for Paints and Jointing Purposes. | IS:57-1950 Specification for Red Lead for Paints and Jointing Purposes. | One Metric Tonne | Re. 1.00 per unit for the first 500 units; 50 Paise per unit for the 501st unit and above. |

No. MD/18:2]

S.O. 155.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fee per unit for Litharge for Paints, details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, has been determined and the fee shall come into force with effect from 16 January, 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Product/Class of Products | No. and title of relevant Indian Standard | Unit | Marking Fee per Unit |
|---------|---------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. | Litharge for Paints | IS: 58-1950 Specification for Litharge for Paints | One Metric Tonne | Re 1-00 per unit for the first 500 units ; 50 Paise per unit for the 501st unit and above. |

[No. MD/18:2.]

D. V. KARMARKAR,
Joint Director (Marks).

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 31st December, 1964

S.O. 156.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964, the following modification to the Indian Standard, details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, has been made with a view to expedite the use of the Standard Mark, without, in any way, affecting the quality of goods covered by the relevant standard.

THE SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | No. and Title of Indian Standard, the provisions of which have been modified | Particulars of the existing provisions | Particulars of the modification made to the provisions | Date from which the modification shall come into force |
|---------|--|--|---|--|
| 1. | IS: 1051-1957 Specification for Pyrethrum Extracts. | Clause 3.3 relating to Flash Point. | Substitute the following for the existing clause : 3.3 Flash Point (Abel)—When determined by the method prescribed in Appendix B, the flash point of the material shall not be below 32.0°C. | Immediate effect. |

[No. MD/13:5/A.]

LAL C. VERMAN, Director.

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY & SUPPLY

ORDER

New Delhi, the 31st December, 1964

S.O. 157/MDRA/6/13.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 2, 4 and 5 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, for a period of two years with effect from the date of this Order, the following persons to be members of the Development Council for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Oils, Detergents and Paints, including cosmetics and Toiletries, in place of members appointed under the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry Order No. S.O. 3294

dated the 26th October, 1962, as amended from time to time, whose term of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise:—

"DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL FOR OILS, DETERGENTS AND PAINTS"

1. Shri C. V. Mariwala, The Bombay Oil Industries (P) Ltd., Kanmoor House, 281/87, Narsi Natha Street, Bombay-9.—*Chairman*.
2. Shri S. Arjuna Raja, "Ramalakshmi Nivas", Rajapalaiyam, Madras State.
3. Shri M. P. Mansingka, M/s Mansingka Industries Pvt. Ltd., 258, Kalbadevi Road, Bombay-2.
4. Shri G. V. Sirur, M/s. Sundatta Foods & Fibres Ltd., Temple Bar Building, 70, Forbes Street, Bombay.
5. Shri T. Chandranna, M/s. Brahamappa Thavanappanavur Ltd., Davangere (Mysore State).
6. Shri K. H. Patil, Chairman, Dharwar Distt. Cooperative Oilseeds Processing Society Ltd., Gadag (Mysore State).
7. Shri Ram Sharma, M/s. Omrao Industrial Corporation Pvt. Ltd., 28, Shantiniketan, 95-A, Marine Drive, Bombay-2.
8. Mr. E. Bolger, M/s. East Asiatic Co. (I) Ltd., Mercantile Bank Building, P.B. No. 146, Madras.
9. Shri Maddi Sudarsnam, Merchant and Mill Owner, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh.
10. Dr. G. S. Hattiangdi, Chief Chemist, M/s. Hindustan Lever Ltd., Hindustan Lever House, Backbay Reclamation, Bombay.
11. Shri M. A. Wadud, Deputy Agent, M/s. Tata Oil Mills Co. Ltd., Bombay House, Bruce Street, Fort, Bombay.
12. Shri V. N. Sardesai, M/s. Sardesai Brothers Pvt. Ltd., Post: Sardesai factory, Billimora.
13. Shri T. R. Sachdev, 25, Gur-Ki-Mandi, (Subzimandi) Delhi-6.
14. Shri U. Sunder Klni, General Manager, M/s. Kerala Soaps & Oils Ltd., Calicut, Kerala.
15. Shri J. C. Das Gupta, M/s. Calcutta Chemical Co. Ltd., 35, Panditiya Road, Calcutta.
16. Shri M. S. Judge, M/s. Shalimar Paints Ltd., P.O. Botanic Garden, Howrah.
17. Shri K. S. Sundaram, M/s. Addisons Paints & Chemicals Ltd., Huzur Garden, Sembiam, Madras-11.
18. Shri Ravi Marfatia, M/s. Goodlass Nerolac Paints Pvt. Ltd., Forbes Building, Home Street, Bombay.
19. Shri D. Madhukar, M/s. Asian Oil & Paint Co. (I) Pvt. Ltd., Das Chambers, Dalal Street, Fort, Bombay-1.
20. Shri S. V. Sathaye, M/s. United Ink & Varnish Co., 37-40, Pranjapa 'B' Scheme, Vile Parel, Bombay-24.
21. Dr. J. R. Rathi, M/s. Sudarshan Chemical Ind. Pvt. Ltd., 27, Shankershet Road, Poona-2.
22. Mr. R. B. Howie, M/s. Coates of India Ltd., 3, Canal East Road, Ultadanga, Calcutta-4.
23. Shri F. H. Kapadia, M/s. British Paints (India) Ltd., P.O. Box No. 738, Calcutta.
24. Shri N. Bhowmik, Development Officer, Dte. General of Tech. Development, New Delhi.
25. Dr. H. G. R. Reddy, Development Officer, Dte. General of Technical Development, New Delhi—*Member Secretary*.
26. Shri N. Chidambaram, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Industry & Supply, New Delhi.
27. Dr. K. T. Achaya, Scientist, Regional Research Laboratory, Hyderabad.
2. Dr. H. G. R. Reddy, Development Officer, Directorate General of Technical Development, New Delhi, is hereby appointed to carry on the functions of the Secretary to the said Development Council.

[No. 2(7)Dev. Councils/64.]

C. BALASUBRAMANIAM, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM & CHEMICALS

New Delhi, the 31st December 1964

S.O. 158.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8A of the Wakf Act, 1954 (29 of 1954), the Central Government hereby establishes, with effect from the 19th December, 1964, the Central Wakf Council and appoints the following persons as members of the said Council, namely:—

1. Shri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan, Home Minister, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
2. Shri Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed, Minister of Finance, Assam Shillong.
3. Shri Shahadat Husain, B.L., 3/B, Congress Exhibition Road, Calcutta.
4. Shri M. A. M. Kashani, 17, Mangoe Lane, Calcutta.
5. Shri Abdul Qayum Ansari, Minister of Health & Jails, Patna.
6. Mr. Justice S. Khalil Ahmad, Patna High Court, Patna.
7. Shri Ghulam Rasul Koreishi, c/o Sabarmati Ashram, Ahmedabad.
8. Shri P. P. Ummer Koya, Convent Road, Calcut.
9. Shri Peerzada Noor Ali Sah, Burhanpur (M.P.).
10. Shri Bashir Ahmed Sayeed, Ex-High Court Judge, "Sadhr Gardens", P.O. Teynampet, Madras-18.
11. Dr. Rafiq Zakaria, Minister, Govt. of Maharashtra, Bombay.
12. Dr. Y. Najmuddin, D. Litt., Badri Mahal, Dadabhai Naoroji Road, Bombay-1.
13. Shri Mohamed Ali, President, Mysore Pradesh Congress Committee, Race Course Road, Bangalore.
14. Shri Latifur Rahman, Pleader, Puri.
15. H. H. Nawab Iftikhar Ali Khan, Nawab of Malerkotla, Malerkotla.
16. Shri Yusuf Mian Maharaj, Khadim, Dargah Khwaja Sahib, Ajmer.
17. Dr. Ali Zaheer, Minister, Uttar Pradesh Govt., Lucknow.
18. Maulana Asad Madani, Madani Manzil, Deoband, Saharanpur.
19. Mufti Atiqur Rahman, Jamiatul Ulema Hind, Gali Qasimjan, Delhi-6.
20. Shri G. M. Sadiq, Prime Minister, Jammu & Kashmir, Jammu.

[No. 8(1)/64-MW.]

S. M. NAQAVI, Dy. Secy.

New Delhi, the 31st December 1964

S.O. 159.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between the Gujarat Refinery, Baroda in Gujarat State to Ahmedabad in Gujarat State, pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority at Elampeco, 4th Floor Sayaji Ganj, Opp. College Lokmanya Tilak Road, Baroda in the office of the Gujarat Pipeline Project, Oil and Natural Gas Commission. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State—Gujarat

District—Ahmedabad

Taluka—City

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha | Sq. Yds. |
|----------|------------|------|--------|----------|
| Memnagar | 52 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| " | 53 | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| Vejalpur | 1146/1 | 0 | 16 | 42 |
| " | 1145 | 0 | 2 | 69 |
| " | 1146/2 | 0 | 2 | 69 |
| " | 1142 | 0 | 6 | 21 |
| " | 1141 | 0 | 16 | 86 |
| " | 1140 | 0 | 8 | 82 |
| " | 1128 | 0 | 11 | 108 |
| " | 1131 | 0 | 4 | 22 |
| " | 1130 | 0 | 12 | 65 |
| " | 1121 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| " | Road | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| " | 1118 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 1117 | 0 | 10 | 97 |
| " | Road | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " | 1175 | 0 | 14 | 56 |
| " | 1177 | 0 | 22 | 84 |
| " | 1179 | 0 | 21 | 65 |
| " | 1181 | 0 | 14 | 110 |
| " | 996 | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | 995 | 0 | 16 | 42 |
| " | 991 | 0 | 11 | 30 |
| " | 990 | 0 | 11 | 69 |
| " | 988 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| " | 987 | 0 | 12 | 104 |
| " | 982 | 0 | 16 | 86 |
| " | 981 | 0 | 8 | 90 |
| " | 977/1 | 0 | 3 | 96 |
| " | 977/3 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 977/4 | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " | Road | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| " | 867 | 0 | 37 | 89 |
| " | 866 | 0 | 1 | 57 |
| " | 856 | 0 | 30 | 103 |
| " | 857 | 0 | 16 | 6 |
| " | 858 | 0 | 20 | 92 |
| " | 860 | 0 | 8 | 20 |
| " | 841/1 | 0 | 5 | 56 |
| " | 842/1 | 0 | 14 | 17 |
| " | 840/1 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| ○ kaf | 101 | 0 | 10 | 46 |
| " | 102 | 0 | 21 | 114 |
| " | Road | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| " | 93 | 0 | 10 | 79 |
| " | 92 | 0 | 12 | 10 |
| " | 90 | 0 | 16 | 102 |
| " | 205 | 0 | 3 | 18 |
| " | 204 | 0 | 23 | 41 |
| " | 203 | 0 | 18 | 12 |
| " | 256 | 0 | 9 | 47 |
| " | 374 | 0 | 24 | 7 |
| " | 315 | 0 | 24 | 114 |
| " | 495 | 0 | 31 | 99 |
| Sarkhej | 523 | 0 | 24 | 58 |
| " | Road | 0 | 3 | 41 |
| " | Road | 0 | 5 | 2 |

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha | Sq. Yds. |
|------------------|------------|------|--------|----------|
| Sarkhej (Contd.) | 215 | 0 | 17 | 20 |
| " | 254 | 0 | 20 | 69 |
| " | 250 | 0 | 20 | 55 |
| " | 249 | 0 | 4 | 49 |
| " | 248 | 0 | 11 | 53 |
| " | 246 | 0 | 20 | 87 |
| " | 247 | 0 | 6 | 118 |
| " | 242 | 0 | 12 | 86 |
| " | 241 | 0 | 7 | 30 |
| " | Road | 0 | 2 | 69 |
| " | 74 | 0 | 12 | 77 |
| " | 75 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| " | Road | 0 | 10 | 34 |
| " | 52 | 0 | 10 | 81 |
| " | 51 | 0 | 15 | 14 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 66 |
| " | Road | 0 | 10 | 27 |
| " | Road | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| " | Road | 0 | 11 | 102 |
| Makaraba | 284 | 0 | 23 | 79 |
| " | 282 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| " | 268 | 0 | 36 | 77 |
| " | 268/2 | 0 | 18 | 92 |
| " | 269 | 0 | 15 | 51 |
| " | 271 | 0 | 7 | 34 |
| " | 272 | 0 | 27 | 63 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 112 |
| " | 164 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| " | 163/1 | 0 | 15 | 51 |
| " | 162 | 0 | 24 | 23 |
| " | 161/3 | 0 | 17 | 4 |
| " | 160 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| " | Road | 0 | 4 | 68 |
| " | 155/2 | 0 | 19 | 42 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 112 |
| " | 155/1 | 0 | 5 | 17 |
| " | 588/2 | 0 | 11 | 53 |
| " | 588 3 | 0 | 17 | 58 |
| " | 589/1 | 0 | 11 | 84 |
| " | 595/2 | 0 | 6 | 36 |
| " | 590/9 | 0 | 1 | 66 |
| " | 594 | 0 | 16 | 55 |
| " | 593 | 0 | 20 | 69 |
| " | 592 | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| " | 602 | 0 | 14 | 17 |
| " | 827 | 0 | 8 | 105 |
| " | 823/1 | 0 | 9 | 46 |
| " | 823/2 | 0 | 19 | 112 |
| " | 824 | 0 | 17 | 105 |
| " | 822/1 | 0 | 14 | 48 |
| " | 822/1/P | 0 | 12 | 49 |
| " | 858 | 0 | 2 | 84 |
| " | 815 | 0 | 16 | 109 |
| " | 813 | 0 | 20 | 30 |
| " | 812/1 | 0 | 13 | 45 |
| " | Road | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| " | 807 | 0 | 17 | 27 |
| " | 808 | 0 | 4 | 115 |
| " | 806 | 0 | 8 | 29 |
| " | 805/1 | 0 | 5 | 110 |
| " | 805/2 | 0 | 6 | 51 |
| " | 804/2 | 0 | 11 | 30 |
| " | 755 | 0 | 3 | 26 |

S.O. 160.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between the Gujarat Refinery, Baroda in Gujarat State to Ahmedabad in Gujarat State, pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto.

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority at Elampeco, 4th Floor Sayaji Ganj, Opp. College Lokmanya Tilak Road, Baroda in the office of the Gujarat Pipeline Project, Oil and Natural Gas Commission. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

| State—Gujarat | | | | | District—Ahmedabad | | Taluka—Daskroi | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--------------------|--|----------------|--------|---------|
| Village | | | | | Survey No. | | Acre | Guntha | Sq.Yds. |
| Ghatlodiya | | | | | Road | | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| " | | | | | 92 | | 1 | 16 | 67 |
| " | | | | | Road | | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " | | | | | 71 | | 0 | 21 | 119 |
| " | | | | | 81 | | 0 | 11 | 92 |
| " | | | | | 72 | | 0 | 19 | 81 |
| " | | | | | 74 | | 0 | 9 | 62 |
| " | | | | | 73 | | 0 | 11 | 92 |
| " | | | | | 76 | | 0 | 7 | 47 |
| " | | | | | 55 | | 0 | 25 | 55 |
| " | | | | | 52 | | 0 | 25 | 16 |
| " | | | | | 50 | | 0 | 16 | 106 |
| " | | | | | Road | | 0 | 3 | 104 |
| " | | | | | 213 | | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| " | | | | | 214 | | 0 | 12 | 88 |
| " | | | | | 215 | | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| " | | | | | 206p | | 0 | 21 | 18 |
| " | | | | | 206/1 | | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| " | | | | | 205 | | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| " | | | | | 180 | | 0 | 8 | 82 |
| " | | | | | 181 | | 0 | 15 | 106 |
| " | | | | | 177/P | | 0 | 11 | 15 |
| " | | | | | 177/P | | 0 | 4 | 37 |
| " | | | | | 183 | | 0 | 29 | 84 |
| " | | | | | 184 | | 0 | 23 | 40 |
| " | | | | | 190 | | 0 | 25 | 73 |
| " | | | | | Road | | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| Chandlodiya | | | | | Road | | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| " | | | | | 125 | | 0 | 19 | 34 |
| " | | | | | 124/2 | | 0 | 17 | 105 |
| " | | | | | 124/1 | | 0 | 21 | 72 |
| " | | | | | 123/1 | | 0 | 18 | 69 |
| " | | | | | 123/3 | | 0 | 5 | 56 |
| " | | | | | 128/1 | | 0 | 18 | 23 |
| " | | | | | 128/4 | | 0 | 4 | 108 |
| " | | | | | 128/3/2 | | 0 | 12 | 80 |
| " | | | | | 128/5 | | 0 | 21 | 26 |
| " | | | | | 130 | | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " | | | | | 136 | | 0 | 10 | 59 |
| " | | | | | 135/1 | | 0 | 10 | 112 |
| " | | | | | Road | | 0 | 0 | 78 |

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha | Sq.Yds. |
|----------------------|--|------|--------|---------|
| Chandlodiya (Contd.) | 233/4/2 | 0 | 13 | 60 |
| " | 233/1 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| " | 243 | 0 | 13 | 99 |
| " | 244 | 0 | 8 | 99 |
| " | 244 Road | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | 245 | 0 | 2 | 41 |
| " | 244/P | 0 | 4 | 76 |
| " | 249 | 0 | 29 | 14 |
| " | 263 | 0 | 7 | 86 |
| " | 259 + 260 | 0 | 9 | 116 |
| " | 271 | 0 | 17 | 43 |
| " | 277 | 0 | 29 | 84 |
| " | 274 | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | 313 | 0 | 9 | 77 |
| " | 315 | 0 | 21 | 26 |
| " | 316 | 0 | 19 | 34 |
| Bareja | 615 | 0 | 10 | 34 |
| " | 614 | 0 | 9 | 93 |
| " | 590 | 0 | 11 | 91 |
| " | 588 | 0 | 24 | 83 |
| " | 454 | 0 | 32 | 17 |
| " | Village Road between S.No. 454 & 455 | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | 455 | 0 | 5 | 17 |
| " | 456 | 0 | 17 | 58 |
| " | Village Road between S. No. 456 & 457 | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " | 435 | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " | 434 | 0 | 29 | 99 |
| " | 433 | 0 | 25 | 86 |
| " | 431 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| " | 430 | 0 | 6 | 72 |
| " | 429 | 0 | 18 | 102 |
| " | 389 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| " | 391 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| " | 392 | 0 | 17 | 45 |
| " | Village Road between S. No. 392 & 339 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| " | 338 + 339 | 0 | 17 | 58 |
| " | 335 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| " | 390 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| " | 341 | 0 | 21 | 119 |
| " | 334 | 0 | 9 | 78 |
| " | 332 | 0 | 14 | 48 |
| " | 312/1 | 0 | 8 | 66 |
| " | 312/2 | 0 | 7 | 109 |
| " | 202 | 0 | 36 | 13 |
| " | Village Road between S.No. 202 & 84 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 84 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
| " | 83 | 0 | 23 | 95 |
| " | 68 | 0 | 9 | 93 |
| " | 81 | 0 | 8 | 42 |
| " | 80 | 0 | 10 | 97 |
| " | 79 | 0 | 23 | 96 |
| " | 77 | 0 | 26 | 27 |
| " | 76 | 0 | 4 | 22 |
| " | 96 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| " | 97 | 0 | 16 | 55 |
| " | 98 | 0 | 14 | 48 |

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha. | Sq.Yds. |
|-----------------|---|------|---------|---------|
| Bareilly-contd. | Village Road between S.No. 98 & 2668 | 0 | 7 | 24 |
| " | 2668 | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " | 2656 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
| " | 2657 | 0 | 17 | 43 |
| " | 2658 | 0 | 13 | 91 |
| " | 2653 | 0 | 11 | 91 |
| " | 2651 | 0 | 14 | 56 |
| " | 2650 | 0 | 18 | 99 |
| " | 2649 | 0 | 2 | 25 |
| " | 2634 | 0 | 22 | 92 |
| " | 2635 | 0 | 15 | 90 |
| " | 2633 | 0 | 1 | 112 |
| " | 2630 | 0 | 24 | 98 |
| " | 2628 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
| " | 2627 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| " | Village Road between S.No. 2627 & 2628 | 0 | 13 | 60 |
| " | 2599 | 0 | 10 | 74 |
| " | 2597 | 0 | 13 | 60 |
| " | 2590 | 0 | 25 | 55 |
| " | 2593 | 0 | 19 | 11 |
| " | 2592 | 0 | 19 | 11 |
| " | 642 | 0 | 11 | 91 |
| " | 641 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| " | 640 | 0 | 33 | 114 |
| " | 639 | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | 637 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
| " | 638 | 0 | 13 | 45 |
| " | 623 | 0 | 11 | 38 |
| " | 622 | 0 | 14 | 48 |
| " | 621 | 0 | 3 | 73 |
| " | 616 | 0 | 11 | 92 |
| " | 620 | 0 | 14 | 110 |
| " | 607 | 0 | 4 | 30 |
| " | Village Road between S.No. 616 & 615 | 0 | 1 | 34 |
| Vasai | 686 Paiki | 0 | 14 | 95 |
| " | 687 " | 0 | 14 | 95 |
| " | 687 " | 0 | 29 | 2 |
| " | 707 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| Gota | 253 | 0 | 29 | 77 |
| " | 249 | 0 | 12 | 26 |
| " | 248 | 0 | 10 | 73 |
| " | Village Road between S.No. 248 & 245 | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | 225 | 0 | 21 | 65 |
| " | Village Road between S.No. 225 & 230 | 0 | 1 | 36 |
| " | 230 | 0 | 4 | 21 |
| " | 228 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 229 | 0 | 14 | 95 |
| " | 218 | 0 | 12 | 65 |
| " | 217 | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | 216 | 0 | 10 | 35 |
| " | 216 | 0 | 22 | 84 |

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha Sq. Yds. |
|-----------|--|------|-----------------|
| Chainpura | 150 | 0 | 14 103 |
| " | 152 | 1 | 4 74 |
| " | 148 | 0 | 8 58 |
| " | 146/1 | 0 | 14 110 |
| " | 146/2 | 0 | 19 22 |
| " | Village Road between S.No. 146 & 141 | 0 | 1 34 |
| " | 141 | 0 | 26 58 |
| " | 142 | 0 | 10 74 |
| " | 113 | 0 | 13 60 |
| " | 114/1 + 2 | 0 | 30 88 |
| " | 117 | 0 | 18 77 |
| " | Village Road between S.No. 117 & 118 | 0 | 1 30 |
| " | 118 | 0 | 2 111 |
| " | Village Road between S. No. 117 & 120 | 0 | 1 58 |
| " | 121 | 0 | 18 93 |
| " | 98 | 0 | 9 57 |
| " | 97/1 | 0 | 5 95 |
| " | 97/2 | 0 | 9 62 |
| Bodakdev | 277 | 0 | 7 47 |
| " | 278 | 0 | 20 69 |
| " | 279 | 0 | 6 52 |
| " | 284/1 | 0 | 9 78 |
| " | 284/2 | 0 | 3 26 |
| " | 288 | 0 | 0 44 |
| " | 289 | 0 | 7 47 |
| " | 291/3 | 0 | 7 47 |
| " | 292 | 0 | 11 30 |
| " | 293/2 | 0 | 0 97 |
| " | 293/3 | 0 | 10 34 |
| " | 293/1 | 0 | 0 44 |
| " | 320/1 | 0 | 5 17 |
| " | 319/1 | 0 | 6 52 |
| " | 317/1 | 0 | 12 26 |
| " | 315 | 0 | 11 30 |
| " | 314/1 | 0 | 5 17 |
| " | 314/2 | 0 | 7 47 |
| " | 301/4 | 0 | 0 97 |
| " | 310 | 0 | 10 34 |
| " | 309/1 | 0 | 2 30 |
| " | 309/2 | 0 | 7 47 |
| " | 308 | 0 | 1 35 |
| " | 306 | 0 | 6 105 |
| " | 307 | 0 | 2 47 |
| " | 305 | 0 | 7 47 |
| " | Village Road between S. No. 305 & 372 | 0 | 1 73 |
| " | 372/2 | 0 | 7 47 |
| " | 372/1 | 0 | 20 69 |
| " | 375 | 0 | 0 44 |
| " | 377 paiki | 0 | 12 26 |
| " | 377 " | 0 | 14 17 |
| " | 377 " | 0 | 5 95 |
| " | 376 | 0 | 8 82 |
| " | 378 | 0 | 6 52 |
| " | 441/1 | 0 | 23 17 |

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha | Sq. Yds. |
|-------------------------|--|------|--------|----------|
| Bodakdev— <i>contd.</i> | Village Road between S. No. 432 & 441 | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " " " " " " | 432 | 0 | 3 | 70 |
| " " " " " " | 433 | 0 | 23 | 95 |
| " " " " " " | 431 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " " " " " " | 430 | 0 | 28 | 96 |
| " " " " " " | 429/1 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| " " " " " " | 428 | 0 | 34 | 8 |
| " " " " " " | 427 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " " " " " " | 415 | 0 | 16 | 86 |
| Thaltej | 49/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| " " " " " " | 49/1/2 | 0 | 19 | 34 |
| " " " " " " | 49/2 | 0 | 10 | 34 |
| " " " " " " | Village Sub Road S. No. 49/2 Parki | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| " " " " " " | 48 | 0 | 9 | 78 |
| " " " " " " | 56 | 0 | 22 | 60 |
| " " " " " " | 57 | 0 | 28 | 112 |
| " " " " " " | 60 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| " " " " " " | 59 | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " " " " " " | 64 | 1 | 0 | 38 |
| " " " " " " | 67 | 0 | 12 | 41 |
| " " " " " " | 65 | 0 | 32 | 110 |
| " " " " " " | 77 | 0 | 11 | 69 |
| " " " " " " | 78 | 0 | 37 | 34 |
| " " " " " " | 79 | 0 | 30 | 103 |
| " " " " " " | Village Road between Simada of Ghatlo- dia & Thaltej | 0 | 1 | 35 |

[No. 31(41)/64-ONG-2.]

S.O. 161.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between the Gujarat Refinery, Baroda in Gujarat State to Ahmedabad in Gujarat State, pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority at Elampoco, 4th Floor, Sayaji Ganj, Opp. College Lokmanya Tilak Road, Baroda in the office of the Gujarat Pipeline Project, Oil and Natural Gas Commission. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State—Gujarat

District—Kaira

Taluka—Matar

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha | Sq. Yds. |
|-----------|------------|------|--------|----------|
| Kathavada | 461 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| " | Road | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 462 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| " | 464 | 0 | 8 | 47 |
| " | 463/P | 0 | 12 | 104 |
| " | 463/P | 0 | 13 | 60 |
| " | 463/P | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| Nivagam | Road | 0 | 3 | 75 |
| " | 511 | 0 | 17 | 58 |
| " | 512 | 0 | 14 | 40 |
| " | 580 | 0 | 19 | 34 |
| " | 579 | 0 | 11 | 52 |
| " | 513 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| " | 577 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| " | 576 | 0 | 19 | 3 |
| " | 533 | 0 | 12 | 88 |
| " | 566 | 0 | 24 | 83 |
| " | 565 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| " | 561 | 0 | 12 | 104 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | 566 | 0 | 11 | 38 |
| " | 557 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 791 | 0 | 13 | 91 |
| " | 792 | 0 | 17 | 58 |
| " | 793 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| " | 786 | 0 | 8 | 27 |
| " | 809 | 0 | 19 | 65 |
| " | 808 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| " | 810 | 0 | 5 | 64 |
| " | 814 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 815/1 | 0 | 3 | 65 |
| " | 816 | 0 | 12 | 41 |
| " | 906 | 0 | 1 | 57 |
| " | 824 | 0 | 14 | 17 |
| " | 904 | 0 | 1 | 101 |
| " | 903/P | 0 | 8 | 21 |
| " | 903/P | 0 | 7 | 75 |
| " | 829 | 0 | 9 | 23 |
| " | 825 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| " | 830 | 0 | 12 | 41 |
| " | 833 | 0 | 7 | 75 |
| " | 841 | 0 | 16 | 117 |
| " | 844 | 0 | 15 | 52 |
| " | 845 | 0 | 10 | 112 |
| " | 848 | 0 | 15 | 52 |
| " | 847 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| " | 875 | 0 | 10 | 34 |
| " | 873 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 112 |
| " | 873 | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| " | 860 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| " | 868 | 0 | 17 | 58 |
| " | 867 | 0 | 11 | 38 |
| " | 866 | 0 | 10 | 34 |

S.O. 162.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 4093, dated the 20th November 1964, under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas, the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

| State—Gujarat | | | | | District—Kaira | | Taluka Anand | |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|------------------|------|--------------|----------|
| Village | | | | | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha | Sq. Yds. |
| Anand | . | . | . | . | 1644 (Khetiwadi) | 5 | 06 | 0 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 618 | 0 | 20 | 92 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 737/1-2] | 0 | 18 | 15 |
| " | . | . | . | . | Road | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 734/1 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 734/4 | 0 | 12 | 88 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 734/5 | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 734/3 | 0 | 10 | 73 |
| " | . | . | . | . | Road] | 0 | 3 | 104 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 812 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 814/P | 0 | 4 | 21 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 816/P | 0 | 12 | 48 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 821 | 0 | 19 | 45 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 728/1 | 0 | 12 | 104 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 726/1 | 0 | 10 | 70 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 726/2 | 0 | 4 | 84 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 726/3 | 0 | 4 | 76 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 822/1 | 0 | 8 | 66 |
| " | . | . | . | . | Road | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 847 | 0 | 31 | 99 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 848 | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 853 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 854 | 0 | 20 | 22 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 855 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 856/1 | 0 | 12 | 104 |
| " | . | . | . | . | Road | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1041 | 0 | 8 | 58 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1039 | 0 | 10 | 74 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1038 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1020 | 0 | 16 | 117 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1030 | 0 | 12 | 104 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1098 | 0 | 13 | 107 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1099 | | | |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1105/2 | 0 | 8 | 43 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1105/3/A+B | 0 | 2 | 108 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1105/1 | 0 | 15 | 90 |
| " | . | . | . | . | Road | 0 | 3 | 65 |
| " | . | . | . | . | 1118 | 0 | 6 | 36 |

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha | Sq. Yds. |
|---------|------------|------|--------|----------|
| Bakrol | 976 | 0 | 20 | 108 |
| " | 1054 | 0 | 16 | 8 |
| " | Road | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| " | 1052 | 0 | 14 | 40 |
| " | 1049 | 0 | 27 | 93 |
| " | 1048 | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | 1047 | 1 | 2 | 51 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| " | 1046 | 0 | 7 | 49 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| " | 1077 | 0 | 11 | 92 |
| " | 1078/1 | 0 | 7 | 86 |
| " | 1978/2 | 0 | 14 | 48 |
| " | 1152/P | 0 | 8 | 43 |
| " | 1152/1 | 0 | 31 | 107 |
| " | 1152 | 2 | 32 | 4 |
| " | 1031 | 0 | 13 | 45 |
| " | 1030 | 0 | 9 | 31 |
| " | 1029 | 0 | 7 | 24 |
| " | 1091 | 0 | 27 | 105 |
| " | 1079 | 0 | 7 | 24 |
| " | 1080 | 0 | 18 | 62 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| " | 2567/P | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| " | 2567/P | 0 | 6 | 91 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | 2568 | 1 | 8 | 103 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | 2573 | 0 | 14 | 17 |
| " | 2583 | 0 | 17 | 4 |
| " | 2582 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| " | 2579/1 | 0 | 12 | 34 |
| " | 2578 | 0 | 4 | 107 |
| " | 2579/3 | 0 | 7 | 28 |
| " | 2522/1 | 0 | 21 | 10 |
| " | 2522/2 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| " | 2425 | 0 | 7 | 24 |
| " | 2426 | 0 | 5 | 17 |
| " | 2424 | 0 | 25 | 8 |
| " | 2431/2 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 2428 | 0 | 7 | 86 |
| " | Road | 0 | 1 | 112 |
| " | 2338 | 0 | 7 | 86 |
| " | 2337 | 0 | 6 | 21 |
| " | 2336 | 0 | 2 | 36 |
| " | 2335/2 | 0 | 4 | 99 |
| " | 2334/1 | 0 | 1 | 101 |
| " | 2334/2 | 0 | 5 | 17 |
| " | 2333/1 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 2333/2 | 0 | 3 | 54 |
| " | 2304 | 0 | 1 | 74 |
| " | 2307 | 0 | 24 | 20 |
| " | 2308 | 0 | 11 | 67 |
| " | 2310 | 0 | 9 | 31 |
| " | 2311 | 0 | 8 | 89 |
| " | 2312 | 0 | 1 | 102 |
| " | 2284/2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |

| V | Survey No. | Acre | Guntha | Sq. Yds. |
|-----------------------|----------------|------|--------|----------|
| Bakrol— <i>contd.</i> | 2283 | 0 | 12 | 41 |
| " | 2182 | 0 | 13 | 21 |
| " | 2183 | 0 | 7 | 6 |
| " | 2185/3 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| " | 2186 | 0 | 8 | 85 |
| " | 2192 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 2191 | 0 | 10 | 34 |
| " | Road | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| " | 2205 | 0 | 6 | 21 |
| " | 2206 | 0 | 2 | 54 |
| " | 2207 | 0 | 6 | 98 |
| " | 2208 | 0 | 5 | 17 |
| " | Road | 0 | 7 | 86 |
| " | 2605 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| " | 2145 | 0 | 21 | 66 |
| " | 2144 | 0 | 7 | 24 |
| " | 2146 | 0 | 9 | 31 |
| " | 2139/1 | 0 | 7 | 24 |
| " | 2139/2 | 0 | 10 | 35 |
| " | 2139/3 | 0 | 6 | 83 |
| " | 2138/2 | 0 | 5 | 33 |
| " | 2136 | 0 | 13 | 53 |
| " | 2137 | 0 | 4 | 99 |
| " | 2135/1 | 0 | 16 | 63 |
| " | 2134 | 0 | 13 | 107 |
| " | 2122 | 0 | 14 | 33 |
| " | 2123 | 0 | 5 | 110 |
| " | 2101 | 0 | 15 | 52 |
| " | 2104 | 0 | 6 | 21 |
| " | 2099 | 0 | 12 | 41 |
| " | 2098 | 0 | 13 | 45 |
| " | 2088 | 0 | 9 | 93 |
| " | 2094 | 0 | 15 | 52 |
| " | 2090 | 0 | 8 | 27 |
| " | 2091 | 0 | 18 | 62 |
| Jol | 290 | 0 | 12 | 67 |
| " | 324 paiki | 0 | 1 | 93 |
| " | 323/2 | 0 | 12 | 54 |
| " | 323/1 | 0 | 7 | 47 |
| " | 294/1 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
| " | 294/2 | 0 | 14 | 118 |
| " | Road | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| " | 300 | 0 | 6 | 21 |
| " | Canal | 0 | 17 | 97 |
| " | Road 300 & 295 | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | 295/P | 0 | 3 | 65 |
| " | 295/P | 0 | 13 | 45 |
| " | 296 | 0 | 12 | 58 |
| " | 351 | 0 | 17 | 66 |
| " | 353 | 0 | 14 | 17 |
| " | 357 | 0 | 11 | 38 |
| " | 354 | 0 | 18 | 31 |
| " | 356 | 0 | 19 | 77 |
| " | 405 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
| " | 406 | 0 | 9 | 22 |
| " | 407 paiki | 0 | 18 | 15 |
| " | 407 paiki | 0 | 1 | 46 |
| " | 403 & 407 Road | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| " | 403 paiki | 0 | 14 | 48 |
| " | 399 | 0 | 11 | 67 |

| Village | Survey No. | Acre | Gunthá | Sq. Yds. |
|------------|----------------|------|--------|----------|
| Jcl—contd. | 400 | 0 | 12 | 104 |
| " | 398 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 398 & 389 Road | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| " | 389 paiki | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| " | 389 paiki | 0 | 3 | 80 |
| " | 395 | 0 | 12 | 18 |
| " | 394 | 0 | 7 | 47 |
| " | 393 | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| " | Road 7 & 393 | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 7 | 0 | 6 | 91 |
| " | 8 | 0 | 11 | 100 |
| " | 14 | 0 | 16 | 94 |
| " | 13/1 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
| " | 809 paiki | 0 | 1 | 112 |
| " | 810 | 0 | 18 | 77 |
| " | 811 paiki | 0 | 6 | 52 |
| " | 811 paiki | 0 | 4 | 115 |
| " | 811 paiki | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| " | 814 paiki | 0 | 4 | 60 |
| " | 815 | 0 | 11 | 67 |
| " | 801 paiki | 0 | 2 | 67 |
| " | 801 paiki | 0 | 10 | 73 |
| " | Road | 0 | 2 | 30 |

[No. 31(41)/64-ONG-4.]

New Delhi, the 2nd January 1965

S.O. 163.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3419, dated the 15th September 1964, under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government:

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

| State—West Bengal | Distt—Burdwan | Thana—Kulti. |
|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Village | Survey nos. (Plot nos.) | Extent (Acre) |
| Chalbahpur, J.L. 19 | 1647 | ·04 |
| Kalikapur J.L. 47 | 147 | ·52 |
| | 148 | ·12 |

S.O. 164.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3415 dated the 15th September, 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corp. Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

| State—West Bengal | | | Distt. Burdwan | | Thana—Jamaria. | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|
| Village | Survey Nos. (Plot nos.) | Extent Area | Village | Survey No. (Plot nos.) | Extent Area | |
| Khoskula J.L. 27 | 276 | ·01 | Banali, J.L. 31 | 820 | ·03 | |
| | 470 | ·02 | | 2446 | ·20 | |
| | 534 | ·26 | | 2447 | ·18 | |
| | 579 | ·35 | | 2448 | ·15 | |
| Bijpur, J.L. 35 | 1113 | ·02 | Kunustria, J.L. 54 | 2449 | ·03 | |
| | 1435 | ·01 | | 747 | ·06 | |
| | 1643 | ·04 | | | | |

[No. 31/33/63-ONG. Vol. 18.]

S.O. 165.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3418, dated the 15th September 1964, under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

| State—West Bengal | | Distt.—Burdwan | | Thana—Memari. | |
|-------------------|--|----------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Village | | Survey No. | Extent Area | | |
| Bhaita J.L. 40 | | 1824 | ·26 | | |

[No. 31(33)/63-ONG. Vol. 13.]

S.O. 166.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3421 dated the 15th September, 1964, under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

| State—West Bengal | Distt. Burdwan | Thana—Salanpur |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Village | Survey nos. (Plot nos.) | Extent (Area) |
| Rangameta J.L. 43 | 287 | ·09 |
| | 288 | ·30 |
| Dhaminberya, J.L. 38 | 326 | ·04 |
| Basudevpur, J.L. 36 | 238 | ·04 |
| Salanpur, J.L. 27 | 1441 | ·14 |
| | 1441 | ·12 |
| | 1470 | ·02 |

[No. 31/33/63-ONG. Vol. 21.]

S.O. 167.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3416 dated the 15th September, 1964, under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

State—West Bengal

Thana—Kulti.

| Village | Survey nos. (Plot nos.) | Extent (Area) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Ramjihanpur, J.L. | | |
| 47 . . . | 69 | ·03 |
| Sudi J.L. 45 . . . | 1227 | ·05 |
| Sitala, J.L. 8 . . . | 887 | ·02 |

[No. 31(33)64-ONG. Vol. 5.]

P. P. GUPTA, Under Secy.